



## N2Africa Annual Report 2018

Authors: Theresa Ampadu-Boakye, Esther Ronner, Fred Kanampiu

With contributions from Ken Giller, Edward Baars, Bernard Vanlauwe, Samuel Adjei-Nsiah, Endalkachew Woldemeskel, Peter Ebanyat, Freddy Baijukya, Jeanmarie Sanginga, Paul Woomer, Regis Chikowo, Lloyd Phiphira, Speciose Kantengwa, Wilson Leonardo, Nkeki Kamai, Eva Thuijsman and Charlotte Schilt

March 2019

# N2Africa

**Putting nitrogen fixation to work for  
smallholder farmers in Africa**



N2Africa is a project funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation by a grant to Plant Production Systems, Wageningen University & Research who lead the project together with IITA, ILRI, University of Zimbabwe and many partners in DR Congo, Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Malawi, Mozambique, Nigeria, Rwanda, Tanzania, and Uganda.

Email: [n2africa.office@wur.nl](mailto:n2africa.office@wur.nl)  
Internet: [www.N2Africa.org](http://www.N2Africa.org)

Authors of this report and contact details

Name: Theresa Ampadu-Boakye  
E-mail: [t.ampadu-boakye@cgiar.org](mailto:t.ampadu-boakye@cgiar.org)

Partner acronym: IITA

Name: Esther Ronner  
E-mail: [esther.ronner@wur.nl](mailto:esther.ronner@wur.nl)

Partner acronym: WU

Name: Fred Kanampiu  
E-mail: [F.Kanampiu@cgiar.org](mailto:F.Kanampiu@cgiar.org)

Partner acronym: IITA

If you want to cite a report that originally was meant for use within the project only, please make sure you are allowed to disseminate or cite this report. If so, please cite as follows:

Theresa Ampadu-Boakye, Esther Ronner, Fred Kanampiu, *et al.* 2018, N2Africa Annual Report 2018, [www.N2Africa.org](http://www.N2Africa.org), 77 p.



Disclaimer:

This publication has been funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation through a grant to Wageningen University entitled "Putting nitrogen fixation to work for smallholder farmers in Africa". Its content does not represent the official position of Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Wageningen University & Research, or any of the other partner organizations within the project and is entirely the responsibility of the authors.

The information in this document is provided as it is and no guarantee or warranty is given that the information is fit for any particular purpose. The user thereof uses the information at their own sole risk and liability.



## Contents

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>Acronyms and Abbreviations .....</b>   | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>About N2Africa .....</b>   | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>1 Progress narrative.....</b>  | <b>11</b> |
| 1.1 Project strategy, coordination and implementation, and capacity strengthening .....                                       | 11        |
| 1.1.1 Project strategy .....  | 11        |
| 1.1.2 Coordination and implementation strategy.....   | 11        |
| 1.1.3 Stakeholder Platforms .....   | 13        |
| 1.1.4 Capacity development .....  | 15        |
| 1.2 Dissemination, sustainable input supply, and output market access .....   | 19        |
| 1.2.1 Farmers reached through various dissemination activities.....   | 19        |
| 1.2.2 Effectiveness of dissemination approaches in relation to awareness and knowledge<br>about legume technologies .....     | 20        |
| 1.2.3 Output market access and collective marketing.....  | 25        |
| 1.3 Empower women to increase benefits from legume production .....   | 26        |
| 1.3.1 Overall women’s participation.....  | 26        |
| 1.3.2 Legume processing tools improving nutritional status.....   | 27        |
| 1.3.3 Labour-saving tools .....   | 27        |
| 1.3.4 Businesses established and led by women .....   | 29        |
| 1.3.5 Status of the Borno Youth Agripreneur (BYAP) interventions in 2018.....   | 30        |
| 1.4 Tailor and adapt legume technologies to close yield gaps and expand the area of legume<br>production within the farm..... | 33        |
| 1.4.1 Diagnostic, demonstration, and adaptation trials .....  | 33        |
| 1.4.2 Recommendations for best-fit technologies .....   | 34        |
| 1.4.3 Rhizobiology: Country Updates .....   | 38        |
| 1.5 Enable learning and assess impacts at scale through strategic M&E .....   | 46        |
| <b>2 Achievements in relation to project milestones.....</b>  | <b>47</b> |
| <b>3 Lessons learned .....</b>  | <b>52</b> |
| 3.1 Ethiopia .....  | 52        |
| 3.2 Borno State, Nigeria .....  | 53        |
| 3.3 Ghana .....   | 53        |
| 3.4 Nigeria.....  | 53        |
| 3.5 Tanzania .....  | 54        |
| 3.6 Uganda.....   | 54        |
| <b>4 Opportunities.....</b>   | <b>55</b> |
| 4.1 Ethiopia .....  | 55        |
| 4.2 Ghana .....   | 55        |
| 4.3 Nigeria and Borno.....  | 55        |
| 4.4 Tanzania .....  | 56        |
| <b>5 Challenges .....</b>   | <b>56</b> |



|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 5.1      | Tanzania .....  | 56        |
| 5.2      | Ghana .....   | 57        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>N2Africa Legacy in Tier 1 Countries: Updates from countries.....</b> | <b>58</b> |
| 6.1      | DR Congo .....  | 58        |
| 6.2      | Kenya .....   | 59        |
| 6.3      | Malawi .....  | 60        |
| 6.4      | Zimbabwe.....   | 61        |
| 6.5      | Mozambique.....   | 61        |
| 6.6      | Rwanda.....   | 61        |
|          | <b>Appendix I – Overview of active partnerships.....</b>                | <b>63</b> |
|          | <b>Appendix II – PhD and MSc student overview .....</b>                 | <b>66</b> |
|          | <b>Appendix IV – List of project reports .....</b>                      | <b>73</b> |
|          | <b>Appendix V – Partners involved in the N2Africa project.....</b>      | <b>77</b> |

## Tables

|           |  |    |
|-----------|--|----|
| Table 1.  | Examples of stakeholder platforms .....  | 14 |
| Table 2   | Awareness of Disseminated technologies across the core countries .....   | 16 |
| Table 3.  | Status of MSc and PhD students trained through degree training .....   | 16 |
| Table 4.  | Inoculant distribution channels and volumes produced (number of packets year <sup>-1</sup> ).....              | 22 |
| Table 5.  | Seed quantities produced and sold by seed companies and farmers in selected countries in 2018.....             | 23 |
| Table 6.  | Summary of survey results on the use of legume technologies.....   | 25 |
| Table 7.  | Number of farmers accessing output market in 2017 and 2018 (targeted and achieved). ...                        | 26 |
| Table 8.  | Total number of diagnostic, demonstration, and adaptation trials established per country in 2018.....          | 33 |
| Table 9.  | Best-fit recommendations for legume cultivation based on diagnostic, demonstration and adaptation trials. .... | 35 |
| Table 10. | Best-fit recommendations for legume production for the seven PPP cluster woredas in Ethiopia .....             | 36 |
| Table 11. | Strains used on selected legumes for multi-location trials in Tanzania and Ghana .....                         | 42 |
| Table 12. | Progress Key Milestones 2017 .....   | 47 |
| Table 13. | Active public-private partnerships in 2018.....  | 63 |
| Table 14. | Overview of PhD students involved in N2Africa Phase II. ....   | 66 |
| Table 15. | Overview of MSc students involved in N2Africa Phase II. ....   | 68 |



## Figures

|            |  |    |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure 1.  | Main areas of support for partnerships in 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018.....   | 12 |
| Figure 2.  | Total number of partnerships (targeted and achieved).....  | 13 |
| Figure 4.  | Total number of farmers reached from 2014 to 2018. ....  | 19 |
| Figure 5.  | Total number of farmers reached per country in 2016 and 2017 (targeted and achieved).<br>.....   | 19 |
| Figure 6.  | Volume of inoculants used by farmers in 2017 and 2018 (targeted and achieved) (t year <sup>-1</sup> ).<br>Data are based on available records from Project MEL system .....                    | 24 |
| Figure 7.  | Volume of fertilizers used by farmers in 2017 and 2018 (targeted and achieved) (t year <sup>-1</sup> ).<br>Data are based on records from N2Africa farmer groups.....                          | 24 |
| Figure 8.  | Number of farmers using labour-saving tools in 2018 (targeted and achieved).....   | 28 |
| Figure 9.  | Number of businesses established by women in 2017 and 2018. ....   | 30 |
| Figure 10. | Cumulative Number of women involved in established businesses.....   | 30 |
| Figure 11. | Response of common bean to N and P fertilizer, and rhizobium inoculants as observed<br>in farmers' fields in Lushoto (n = 4).....  | 34 |
| Figure 12. | Comparison of mean national yields (2013-2017) of the four targeted legumes with the<br>N2Africa-Ethiopia dissemination trials (2013-2017) across different agro-ecological<br>locations. .... | 34 |
| Figure 13. | Performance of soyabean elite rhizobia strains in the Southern Highlands of Tanzania<br>(n = 3).....   | 38 |
| Figure 14. | Performance of groundnut elite rhizobia strains in the Southern Highlands of Tanzania<br>(n = 3).....  | 39 |
| Figure 15. | Performances of rhizobial strains at different locations in Ethiopia .....   | 40 |
| Figure 16. | Performances of different varieties of common bean in 2016-2017 in multiple locations<br>in Ethiopia.....  | 41 |
| Figure 17. | Performances of different varieties of common bean in 2016-2017 in multiple locations<br>in Ethiopia.....  | 42 |
| Figure 18. | Grain yield of cowpea grown in Tanzania as affected by inoculation with selected elite<br>rhizobia strains. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean. ....                      | 43 |
| Figure 19. | Grain yield of soya bean grown in Tanzania as affected by inoculation with selected elite<br>rhizobia strains during the 2017 and 2018 growing seasons.....                                    | 43 |
| Figure 20. | Effect of cowpea inoculation with selected elite rhizobia strains on grain yields in Ghana<br>during the year 2016 and 2017 growing seasons.....   | 44 |
| Figure 21. | Grain yield of soyabean grown in Ghana as influenced by inoculation with selected elite<br>rhizobia strains during the 2016/2017 growing seasons.....  | 45 |
| Figure 22. | Pod yield of groundnut grown in Ghana as influenced by inoculation with selected elite<br>rhizobia strains in the 2016 cropping season. ....   | 45 |



## Acronyms and Abbreviations

| Acronym |  |
|---------|--|
| ABP     | Anchor Borrowers Program   |
| AFEX    | Africa Exchange Holdings Limited-Nigeria                                     |
| ASDP    | Agriculture Sector Development Program                                       |
| CBN-ABP | Commercial Bank of Nigeria-Anchor Borrowers Program                          |
| CRS     | Catholic Relief Services   |
| CV      | Community volunteers   |
| GCL     | Guavay Company Limited   |
| MoU     | Memorandum of Understanding  |
| NIRSAL  | Nigeria Incentive-Based Risk-Sharing System for Agricultural Lending         |
| TOSCI   | Tanzania Official Seed Certification Institute                               |
| QDS     | Quality Declared Seeds   |
| RAA     | Regional Agricultural advisory   |
| SME     | Small and Medium Scale Enterprises   |
| SMS     | Short Message Service  |
| SSP     | Spraying Service Provider  |
| SSP     | Single superphosphate  |
| TIJA    | Transforming Industrial through Joint Agriculture Transformation in Tanzania |
| TSP     | Triple Super Phosphate (TSP) Fertilizer                                      |
| VBAA    | Village- based agricultural advisors   |



## About N2Africa


### **Brief Project Description**

N2Africa contributes to increasing biological nitrogen fixation and productivity of grain legumes among African smallholder farmers in order to enhance soil fertility, improve household nutrition and increase income levels of smallholder farmers.

As a vision of success, N2Africa builds sustainable, long-term partnerships to enable African smallholder farmers to benefit from symbiotic N<sub>2</sub>-fixation by grain legumes through effective production technologies, including inoculants and fertilizers, adapted to local settings. The project aims to leave behind a strong national expertise in grain legume production and N<sub>2</sub>-fixation research and development. N2Africa was designed to enhance legume production in the major legume growing areas in each target country using local expertise, providing opportunities for the poor and addressing gender disparities. In Phase II, N2Africa aimed to reach 550,000 farmers across all countries.

Phase II of N2Africa was implemented in five core countries (Ghana, Nigeria, Tanzania, Uganda and Ethiopia) and six Tier 1 countries (DR Congo, Malawi, Rwanda, Mozambique, Kenya and Zimbabwe) focusing on six grain legumes (common bean, cowpea, groundnut, soyabean, chickpea and faba bean). N2Africa Phase II used the experience gained from Phase I to expand activities to reach many more farmers in Ghana and Nigeria, and extend the project to Ethiopia, Tanzania and Uganda (the five Core Countries). In DRC, Kenya, Rwanda, Malawi, Mozambique and Zimbabwe (Tier 1 Countries), Phase II focused on disseminating outcomes from Phase I at scale, institutionalizing legume expertise within national systems, and shifting activities to other donors through co-funding.

Through its development-to-research agenda, thousands of farmers experiment with the project's legume technologies and adapt them to their own needs. This 'development and dissemination', or 'D&D', forms the core of N2Africa. Extensive 'monitoring and evaluation', or 'M&E', of these small trials allows data to flow into research. We then tailor and adapt 'best fit technologies' at the field-scale into a set of locally relevant options and principles.



N2Africa Project Core countries (dark green),  
Tier 1 countries (light green).



## Highlights of Results: 2014 to 2018

The project Results Framework (RF) guides the project implementation. Results are summarized based on the key results areas. The outcomes of the project include: building strong partnerships with capacity strengthening, awareness/knowledge gained by beneficiaries, access to inputs and output markets by smallholder farmers, participation of women in project key activities and increasing their benefits, tailoring the technologies to local needs of end users and assessing the impact of the project at scale through strategic monitoring and evaluation of results. These key outcomes are to generate five strategic system level impacts including i) increased productivity; ii) increased income; iii) increased nutrition status of women and children iv) improved natural resources systems (use of inputs in sustainable rotations; v) National systems leading emerging legume technologies evidenced and (vi) Gender disparity and empowerment. Below is a summary of results focusing on the key outcomes of the project.

### 1. PROJECT STRATEGY FOR DELIVERY

- *Public-Private Partnerships as driving strategy for scaling:* Public Private Partnerships have been the driving force for scaling legume technologies within N2Africa. This has ensured the integration of technologies into national and partner's systems. Implementation of project activities were done through 43 partnerships in 2018. Of these partnerships, 67% addressed input markets, and 61% output markets, whereas dissemination and capacity building were covered by 54% and 50% respectively. In addition to the partnerships, other national, regional, and district stakeholder platforms were used to address areas such as coordination and policy issues within legume value chains. A cumulative total of 257 partnerships were established and renewed from 2015 through 2018.

- *Capacity Strengthening to Sustain Delivery:* Capacity building activities focused on both partner staff and value chain actors. A total of 61,401 persons have been trained since 2014 with 36% of the beneficiaries being female. This is a combination of persons trained by training of trainers (such as lead farmers) as well as direct trainings to value chain actors (agro dealers, processors). Training of trainers constitute 15% of the total persons trained with 31% of female participation. In 2018, partner staff conducted almost 100% of these trainings with N2Africa staff providing backstopping where needed. The ToTs also provided technical backstopping in the technology dissemination activities (demonstrations, adaptations, field days, etc.). In addition, the project has supported 60 students at MSc and PhD levels, with 36% female participation, out of which 30 (27 MSc and 3 PhD) have completed.

### 2. DELIVERY AND DISSEMINATION OF LEGUME TECHNOLOGIES

- *Awareness of Proven Technologies:* In 2018, a total of 107,306 farmers were reached (45% female) through various dissemination approaches. In all, 677,495 farmers (45% female) have been reached<sup>1</sup> by the end of 2018. This number exceeded the target (555,000 farmers) by 19%. Key among the dissemination approaches were the organization of demonstrations, adaptations (limited), field days, media events, and video shows. The effectiveness of these approaches has been assessed in Ghana in collaboration with Africa Soil Health Consortium (ASHC) and other partners. Findings indicate that a mix of different approaches is important (e.g., demonstration plots, radio programs, comics, and SMS), as some approaches have wider coverage but less knowledge gained (radio) and others have limited coverage but provide platforms for direct learning (e.g. demonstrations).

- *Last-Mile Delivery Systems, a key for input delivery and access:* Access to inputs has always been a priority as many farmers become familiar with the technologies. At project level, 62% of the 2018

---

<sup>1</sup> Reach means awareness and knowledge gained through dissemination approaches such as demonstrations, adaptations, field days, radio, video shows, SMS, etc. by group of farmers





target for seed was achieved (6,660 t year<sup>-1</sup>) and about 54% of the target for fertilizer (11,100 t year<sup>-1</sup>), whereas the inoculant target (56 t year<sup>-1</sup>) was achieved by over 100%.

The private sector took lead in inoculant marketing in Ghana (Green-Ef company), Nigeria (Flour Mills Nigeria, Harvest Fields, Intrio Synergy Limited and others), Tanzania (Guavay) and Ethiopia (MBI). These private companies had different approaches and models to the delivery of inoculants in the countries. ISL tested the implementation of the private Anchor Borrowers Scheme in which soyabean processing company (AFEX) contracted farmers and provided input packages for them. In Tanzania, the Village-Based Agricultural Advisory (VBAA) model was piloted and led by Guavay. Agro dealers and farmer cooperatives also played a critical role in the last mile delivery, especially in Ethiopia.

- *Output Markets as a Driving Force for Adoption of Technologies:* Stimulating access to profitable markets enhances investment in input usage by smallholder farmers. By the end of 2018, a total of 176,910<sup>2</sup> persons (41% female) were involved in collective marketing, achieving 64% of the target for 2018 (275,000). Soyabean, common beans and bush beans are the legumes commonly sold through collective marketing with soyabean having mostly formal markets with signed agreements. In Tanzania, about 70% of the soyabean produced by farmers under the partnership with CRS was sold to companies such as Soldecom commodities, KEA and Matembwe village companies. Though farmers continue to participate in collective marketing, the bulk of them still face challenges such as low market prices due to the quality, limited storage, non-acceptance of some varieties (especially those with smaller seeds sizes, etc.) and poor road networks causing high transportation costs. In Nigeria, a private Anchor Borrowers Program is being piloted in which farmers are supported to access both input and output markets. In Ghana, key soyabean processing companies such as Vestor oil and Ghana Nuts have been linked to farmers in seven districts. In Ethiopia, the cooperative unions continue their role in collective marketing. The linkages in all countries will hopefully trigger access to output markets as required in 2019.

- *Sustaining Private Sector Commitment for Input Delivery:* The rigorous engagement with the private sector since 2016 has led to an improvement in access to inputs especially in inoculants in Ethiopia and Nigeria. Seed companies such as Agriseed and Beula (Tanzania), Heritage (Ghana) and Jirkur (Borno State) have contracted community seed producers trained under the various partnerships across these countries. These engagements have contributed to greater volumes of inputs being distributed and used by farmers and have sustained the interest of some companies in the partnerships. Sustainable access to foundation seed is mostly supported by public institutions (e.g. ARI Uyole in Tanzania, ARARI, EIAR and OARI in Ethiopia).

For inoculant distribution channels, key private sector companies hold the fort for the distribution of the products. Though most inoculant volumes were sold in Nigeria and Ethiopia, it is significant to mention that partners in Tanzania have piloted the VBAA model which proved to be effective in terms of timely delivery, generating trust among farmers and companies.

### 3. EMPOWERING WOMEN TO INCREASE BENEFITS FROM LEGUME PRODUCTION

- *Female participation in increasing the benefits:* Gender has been mainstreamed at various levels of the project. Starting with identifying gender constraints and integrating specific campaign themes to enhance female participation in project interventions. So far, the project has achieved 31% and 36% female participation in ToT and step-down trainings respectively. In addition, 45% of total farmers reached (660,198) were female. Over 13,000 women were involved in processing various products and using various legume technologies.

- *Access to Labour-saving Tools as Entry Point to Reduce Drudgery:* Farmers have started using their preferred labour-saving tools after a series of validations to showcase tools and to obtain

---

<sup>2</sup> Data is from 43 partnerships in 2018, excluding other partnerships that ended in 2017.



feedback on them. A total of 48,462 farmers are using threshers and herbicides as major labour-saving tools in 2018<sup>3</sup>, achieving 87% of the project target.

#### 4. ENABLING LEARNING AND ASSESSING IMPACTS AT SCALE

- *Effective Approaches for Continuous Technology Dissemination:* Various approaches have been used across the countries to disseminate technologies. Both implementing partners and farmers provided feedback on the use of such approaches and the feedback is used to improve the approach for subsequent activities. Partners who hitherto used only demonstrations and field days (about 80% of partners), embraced adaptations as key step to tailoring of technologies to the needs of farmers and also included video shows as a means to reach out to more women beneficiaries. Majority of partners also used a combination of approaches (video, trainings, demonstrations, radio programs etc.) in disseminating the technologies as feedback from farmers through surveys indicated the strengths of different approaches. One of the key factors for continuous dissemination of technologies by partners on their own after N2Africa exits will depend on the cost effectiveness of the approaches and to some extent the continuation of the partner initiatives. With this, several tools have been developed (e.g. videos, leaflets, manuals, etc.) to support partners in the continuous use of the approaches.

- *Making Best-Fit Technologies Available for Continuous Dissemination:* The multiple locations of the dissemination trials, combined with farmers' feedback in the evaluation of technologies has contributed to reshaping of the technology packages into more preferred options. The changes made in options and the processes used to obtain such changes are currently being documented to be made available for wider use by partners as the project exits. The focus in the coming months is to analyze the farmer technology feedback, to combine this with current best-fit technologies and the learning pathways, and to document sets of best-fit technologies for location-specific options to ensure their availability to partners for continuous dissemination.

#### **Keywords**

Annual report, Key milestones, objectives, progress, biological nitrogen fixation, grain legumes, Nigeria, Borno State, Ghana, Tanzania, Ethiopia, Uganda, DR Congo, Kenya, Malawi, Zimbabwe.

---

<sup>3</sup> Data from existing farmer groups in 2018



## 1 Progress narrative

This report presents the results of 2018 interventions, cumulative results of the project from 2014 to 2018 and preliminary results of the project impact assessment. Also included are updates from the Tier 1 countries where project interventions ended in December 2017. The results and progress were evaluated against the five project objectives:

1. Project strategy, coordination and implementation, and capacity strengthening;
2. Delivery and dissemination, sustainable input supply, and market access;
3. Empower women to increase benefits from legume production;
4. Tailor and adapt legume technologies to close yield gaps and expand the area of legume production within the farm;
5. Enable learning and assess impacts at scale through strategic management & evaluation.

The achievements against each milestone are presented in Appendix 1. The progress narrative provides more detailed information behind the numbers presented in the tables. The report also includes summaries of the project impact assessment conducted at the end of 2018. The main sources of information for this report include the N2Africa Annual Country Reports 2018, the country planning and review meetings, the N2Africa databases, and the success stories/highlights.

### 1.1 Project strategy, coordination and implementation, and capacity strengthening

#### 1.1.1 Project strategy

The project Master Plans on 1) Agronomy, 2) Rhizobiology, 3) Dissemination, 4) Platforms 5) Gender, 6) Monitoring and Evaluation and 7) Communication continued to guide the implementation of the project across the countries. As outlined in the Master Plans, project teams and partners conduct annual planning meetings to update country partnership plans based on the results framework. Feedback from project annual meetings allows countries to focus on specific gaps identified. In 2017 and 2018, however, these gaps were mainly identified based on the individual country exit strategies, and not the entire results framework.

The main project communication channels remained the Podcaster and the N2Africa website which provided information to partners and collaborators. Six Podcasters were broadcast in 2018, mostly to inform the N2Africa network about successes of individual beneficiaries and/or groups in various aspects of the legume value chain, updates of the degree trainings from supported students, and the general updates of project progress.

#### 1.1.2 Coordination and implementation strategy

The main implementation strategy of N2Africa has been through Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) with development organizations, international and local non-governmental organizations, and public institutions. Research-based knowledge is shared through various dissemination approaches and through designed feedback loops, both within the project and with stakeholders within the partnerships. These feedback loops guarantee the achievement of the N2Africa vision of success. Establishing PPPs and their coordination therefore played a key role in the achievement of the project's results in all countries.

##### 1.1.2.1 Public-Private Partnerships as key implementation strategy


Public-Private Partnerships have been the main driving strategy for the implementation of N2Africa Phase II with its four pillars (Capacity Building, Input Supply, Output Markets, and Technology Dissemination) to guide the partnerships' development. In 2018, countries focused on key pillars to address the gaps that exist for the implementation of the exit strategies documented with partners. In all, there were 43 formal partnerships (with signed agreements) for the implementation of project




activities in 2018, however other partners (whose agreements ended in 2017) continued to partner informally with the project and disseminated the technologies. Fig. 1 shows the main areas of focus of the partnerships across the core countries in 2018.

Most partnerships were a continuation of the previous years of the project’s implementation and few partners renewed their official partnership agreements in 2018. In Tanzania for example, no partnerships were formalized but the project continued to collaborate with its initial 34 partners based on established relationships and platforms. As most countries had almost achieved their targets for awareness creation (number of farmers reached) in 2017, 54% of partnerships across the countries in 2018 focused on dissemination of the technologies whereas 61%-67% focused on sustainable input supply systems and output markets. In Tanzania, for instance, this was to ensure the implementation of the agreed input model by partners to reach the targets for inputs.

Though the input supply partners were key in ensuring establishment of last mile delivery systems and access to inputs, most of them integrated dissemination and capacity building activities alongside their input models. Intrio Synergy Limited in Nigeria trained over 130 Extension Agents and 40,000 new farmers in new locations to expand the market base of its distribution networks.



**Figure 1. Main areas of support for partnerships in 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018**



**Figure 2. Total number of partnerships (targeted and achieved)**

The reduction in number of active<sup>4</sup> partnerships compared to 2017 was because most of the research activities such as trials ended in 2017. Also due to the focus on input/output markets, few partnerships on dissemination were maintained in 2018. The types of partners include local and international non-governmental organizations, other government institutions, private input suppliers, legume buyers, processors, and development partners (Appendix I).

The main types of agreements were the Cooperative-Collaboration agreements (50%), Sub-contract agreements (43%) and sub-contract/cost share agreements (7%). Various dissemination models, such as Farmers' Training Centres (FTC), Cooperatives (Producer collective), Out-grower models and the Input Supplier and Information Management model were used to enhance learning among farmers and to ensure access to input-output markets.

### 1.1.3 Stakeholder Platforms

Stakeholder platforms are complementary strategies to access inputs and output markets. N2Africa has participated in existing platforms and supported in establishing legume-specific platforms with varied partners and diverse roles and responsibilities. Box 1 shows an example of a national stakeholder platform in Tanzania which N2Africa supported to establish, and its contribution to access to inputs and outputs. Table 1 shows other existing platforms.

<sup>4</sup> Active Partnerships are partnerships with signed agreements and specific work plans for a period a time



**BOX I: A new business platform to improve soyabean farmer’s access to inputs and output marketsmarket through a public-private partnerships (PPPs) in Tanzania**

Since, 2016 N2Africa and its partner CRS have been working with Mtewele General Traders (MGT) a Hub-Agrodealer, ensuring that farmers in the Southern Highlands of Tanzania easily access seeds of improved soyabean, inoculants and fertilizers. In 2017, N2Africa identified AgriSeed as a potential seed company to supply soyabean seeds and Guavay to distribute rhizobia inoculants. In their operations, both AgriSeed and Guavay found MGT to be their potential agent with capacity to sell their products up to the last mile. This is because MGT has a good network of rural agro-dealers and is well linked with Community Volunteers (CVs) and Village Based Agricultural Advisors (VBAs) who were trained by N2AfricaN2africa to aggregate input demand from legume producer groups.

During 2017/2018 agricultural season, the three companies together realized that many farmers are willing to buy and use inputs they trade with if there is are readily available market of soyabean. As part of an exit strategy, in July 2018, N2Africa brought together CEOs of these companies and 56 representatives of legume producer groups (from districts Njombe, Ludewa, Songea and Namtumbo) to discuss possibilities of forming a business platform that will ensure increased production as well as markets of soyabean so that all parties to expand their business. The business platform and guidelines for doing business were created, where clear roles and responsibilities of each member of the platform are indicated. For example, Guavay and Agriseed are responsible for identifying the existing and new potential markets of soyabean, whereas MGT and leaders of producer groups are charged with mobilizing farmers to produce soyabean using inputs (improved seed, inoculant and fertilizer).

Between October and November 2018, the platform identified and visited four big animal feed manufacturers who indicated readiness to buy 130,000 MT of soyabean (i.e. Mount Meru Millers require 100,000 MT of soyabean grain; International TANFEED, 10,000 and Highland Millers, 20,000 MTMT). On the other hand, MGT and leaders of farmer groups have managed to engage 638 producer groups, with a total of 19,140 members capable of cultivating 28,720 ha of soyabean with anticipated production of about 30,000 MT. In future, MGT plans to invest in soyabean processing as the company sees a real opportunity. We hope to monitor the performance of this initiative at the end of the growing season.

**Table 1. Examples of stakeholder platforms**

| Country  | Name of stakeholder platform  | Level                      |
|----------|---|----------------------------|
| Ethiopia | PPP Platforms for value chain actors  | National and Cluster level |
| Tanzania | Three platforms <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The soyabean innovation platform led by East African Grain Council (EAGC);</li> <li>• The Legume alliance led by CABI-ASHC;</li> <li>• Seed policy platform led by AFAP</li> </ul> | Regional                   |
| Uganda   | Two platforms <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SNV –OSSUP</li> <li>• National Maize and beans platform</li> </ul>   | National                   |
| Ghana    | Two platforms <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Soyabean Innovation Lab-Regional</li> <li>• Emerging Platform (MoFA, Input suppliers-YARA, Seed/Inoculant companies, off takers)-National</li> </ul>                                 | Regional. National         |




### 1.1.4 Capacity development

As part of the project legacy, legume production is to be enhanced, and continuous delivery of legume production technologies ensured among partners in the major legume growing areas in each target country. Hence, building such expertise across partnerships and key experts were priority of the project. Two key strategies have been used: (i) non-degree trainings and (ii) degree trainings.

#### 1.1.4.1 Non-degree training

The project has since 2014 engaged in non-degree trainings in the form of training of trainers (ToTs) to build the capacity of implementing partner staff (including Extension Agents). This is the core of the non-degree trainings with an objective to equip partner staff with the necessary capacity for step down training. In 2018, a total of 266 (29% female) participants were trained as ToTs, mainly Extension/Development Agents, experts from bureaus of agriculture, cooperative promotion agencies and hub-Agro dealers (Tanzania). These participants were few but key to complement previous trainings. In Tanzania, this was to support the piloting of the agreed input distribution model by partners. The project has cumulatively trained a total of 9,016 (31% female) persons as ToTs across all countries (including Tier 1 countries). Fewer trainings were conducted in 2018 since most partnerships were an extension from previous years and most partner staff were already trained.

Fig.3 shows the categories of trainings conducted so far. Most trainings covered Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) for both grain and seed production and post-harvest handling (81%) whereas the least in number was business entrepreneurship training focusing on selected lead cooperatives/ farmer organisations and youth agripreneurs.



**Figure 3. Focus areas covered by Training of Trainers (%)**

The large number of participants for GAPs gave the opportunity to create awareness about the legume technologies across the countries. The early impact assessment conducted in 2018 shows that this has contributed to high awareness levels among project beneficiaries (Table 2). Awareness of inoculants is limited compared with other technologies. This might be due to fact that inoculant is crop specific, used mostly on soyabean in Ghana, Nigeria and Tanzania which limits the awareness to beneficiaries growing soyabean in such countries alone.



**Table 2. Awareness of Disseminated technologies across the core<sup>5</sup> countries**

|                                    | Treated | Non-treated | Total |
|------------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------|
| Sample size                        | 1848    | 1896        | 3744  |
| Heard of inoculant <sup>6</sup>    | 31.5    | 9.2         | 20.2  |
| Heard of practices <sup>7</sup>    | 77.9    | 50.4        | 64.2  |
| Heard of improved seed             | 80.5    | 55.7        | 68.4  |
| Heard of Fertilizer Use on legumes | 58.7    | 34.4        | 46.6  |

\*Data from Project impact survey in 2018

Step-down training (trainings mainly organized and conducted by Training of Trainers for value chain actors, e.g. farmers, agro-dealers/input retailers, youth agripreneurs in different business ventures) were also conducted, mostly in new locations/ communities in 2018. The trainings were conducted as follow up by the ToTs and 9,297 participants (35% female) were trained in 2018, giving a cumulative total of 61,401 (36% female) step down participants across all countries.

To ensure legume seed availability as key constraint identified by legume value chain actors, several trainings were conducted to provide access through training of selected farmers as seed producers. More than 60% of GAPs trainings also covered seed production. The selection of training topics was done in conjunction with partners during planning meetings, after which the curriculum for such trainings was developed.

#### 1.1.4.2 Degree training

The degree training targets students at different levels (e.g. MSc and PhD) across the countries and mostly within partner institutions in the countries. Table 4 shows that 41 MSc/MPhil students (27% female) and 19 PhD students (32% female) are contributing to research activities in the project. With this, a total of 31<sup>8</sup> students (27 MSc/MPhil and 4 PhD) have graduated so far. Details on research topics, institutions, and gender of students are presented in Appendix II. Box II gives summary findings of two students from Ghana and Tanzania.

**Table 3. Status of MSc and PhD students trained through degree training**

| Country | Student level |               |              |               | Total<br>(#) | Status   |
|---------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--|
|         | MSc students  |               | PhD students |               |              |  |
|         | Male<br>(#)   | Female<br>(#) | Male<br>(#)  | Female<br>(#) |              |  |
| Ghana   | 9             | 2             | 2            | 0             | 13           | Two PhD continue with studies. Ten MPhil/MSc students have graduated. Students theses have been uploaded on the N2Africa |

<sup>5</sup> Ethiopia, Ghana, Nigeria (including Borno), Tanzania and Uganda

<sup>6</sup> Inoculants types included Legumefix, Nodumax, Menagesha (in Ethiopia), Makfix

<sup>7</sup> Practices include row planting, intercropping, rotation, pest management, weed management, plant population

<sup>8</sup> Excluding student data from Uganda





| Country          | Student level |               |              |               | Total<br>(#) | Status  |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---|
|                  | MSc students  |               | PhD students |               |              |   |
|                  | Male<br>(#)   | Female<br>(#) | Male<br>(#)  | Female<br>(#) |              |   |
| Nigeria          | 8             | 1             | 2            | 2             | 13           | website. One MPhil student yet to submit thesis<br>Two PhDs (male) and four MSc students (one female) graduated and theses uploaded on the N2Africa website. Two PhD (female) and four MSc students continue, mainly waiting for external examiners |
| Borno State      | 2             | 3             | 1            | 2             | 8            | One PhD student (female) completed, one continues and another terminated. Two female MSc completed, three MSc students awaiting examiners and one female continues  |
| Tanzania         | 6             | 4             | 2            | 0             | 12           | Five MSc students completed, and theses uploaded on the N2Africa website. Two PhD students continue with studies  |
| Uganda)*         | 3             | 1             | 1            | 1             | 6            | Theses of two MSc students (male) have been submitted for external examination. Two MScs have completed. Theses uploaded on the N2Africa website  |
| Ethiopia         | 8             | 1             | 3            | 0             | 12           | One PhD and nine MSc students completed, and theses uploaded on the N2Africa website. Two PhD continue with research work   |
| The Netherlands* | 9             | 15            | 2            | 2             | 28           | One PhD student (female) completed, another (female) will defend in June. Twelve MSc students completed, theses uploaded on the N2Africa website  |
| France           | 1             | 0             | 0            | 0             | 1            | Completed, thesis uploaded on the N2Africa website  |
| Rwanda           | 0             | 0             | 1            | 0             | 1            | Underway  |
| DRC              | 0             | 0             | 0            | 1             | 1            | Underway  |
| Zimbabwe         | 0             | 1             | 0            | 2             | 3            | Underway  |
| Kenya            | 2             | 0             | 1            | 0             | 3            | Completed, theses uploaded on the N2Africa website  |
| Mozambique       | 0             | 0             | 1            | 0             | 1            | Completed, thesis uploaded on the N2Africa website  |
| Malawi           | 1             | 0             | 0            | 0             | 1            | Completed, thesis uploaded on the N2Africa website  |
| <b>Total</b>     | <b>49</b>     | <b>28</b>     | <b>16</b>    | <b>9</b>      | <b>103</b>   |   |



## Box II: Summary findings of selected students in Ghana and Tanzania

### Topic: Farmers' willingness to pay for soyabean inputs in Northern Ghana

Main findings: 74% of smallholder soyabean farmers in northern Ghana are willing to pay for soyabean inputs and this is linked to farmer's previous participation in soyabean demonstrations where the use of these inputs was demonstrated. It was found from the study that the age of a farmer, household size, access to credit, farmer participation in soya demonstrations and gains made from demonstrations influence farmer's use of certified seeds. Access to extension service, participation and benefits from demonstrations and distance to nearest agro-input market influence farmers' use of phosphorus fertilizer while use of rhizobia inoculant is influenced by age of farmer, access to credit, membership of farmer group, previous use in demonstration and experience in soyabean production.

### Topic: Dynamics of common bean pests in Northern Tanzania

The study reports great diversity of insect pest species attacking common beans, some of which occur at high incidences in each of the cropping seasons, cropping systems and altitudes. The severity of inflicted injuries to the crop varied greatly depending on the dominant insect pest species, their population and nature of damage caused. Major insect pests include bean stem maggot (*Ophiomyia phaseoli*) at lower altitudes in both mono-cropping and intercropping systems, the black bean aphids (*Aphis fabae*) at high and medium altitudes in both cropping systems, the American ball worm larvae (*Heliothis amigera*) at both lower and high altitudes mostly in monocropping system, the flower beetle (*Mylabris spp*) across all altitudes in both cropping systems but more abundant during long rain seasons. The same was observed on leaf foliage beetles (*Oothea bennigsseni*) and pod borer *Maruca (testulalis) vitrata*. Higher pest damages were found at emergency stage where significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) in insect pest species between the cropping seasons could be observed. At seedling stage, and pod formation and pod filling, the results suggested a highly significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) in insect pest species and their incidence between the cropping systems, cropping seasons and altitudes. Also, there were significant differences ( $P<0.05$ ) in on yield in different cropping seasons, cropping patterns and altitudes. The study proposes scouting and early spraying as the rational method to reduce the impact of bean insect pests among the farmers.

Kwasi, G. (2017). Farmers' willingness to pay for soyabean inputs in Northern Ghana. University of Development Studies.


Fides, T. (2017). Dynamics of common bean insect pests with altitudes, cropping season and cropping patterns in Hai district, Tanzania. Sokoine university of Agriculture



## 1.2 Dissemination, sustainable input supply, and output market access


### 1.2.1 Farmers reached through various dissemination activities

N2Africa and its partners continued in 2018 to introduce legume technologies to smallholder farmers. A total of 107,306 farmers were reached (45% female) in 2018. In total, an increase of 22% has been achieved over the project target for 2018 (Fig. 3). This figure is the sum of farmers reached through all dissemination approaches, mostly excluding the number of farmers reached through media events.



**Figure 3. Total number of farmers reached from 2014 to 2018.**

Figure 4 indicates achievements at country level between 2017 and 2018. Most farmers were reached through collaborative partnerships with existing partners. The target was achieved through the numerous partnerships and their expansion of operational areas. Also, the engagement of larger bilateral projects (e.g. N2Africa-Tanzania with NAFKA-Africa RISING project in 2018 reached out to over 17,000 farmers).



**Figure 4. Total number of farmers reached per country in 2016 and 2017 (targeted and achieved).**



### **1.2.2 Effectiveness of dissemination approaches in relation to awareness and knowledge about legume technologies**

N2Africa, the Centre for Agriculture and Bioscience International (CABI), Farm Radio International (FRI) and other partners jointly developed and used innovative and complementary communication approaches to scale-up improved legume technologies and to establish sustainable input supply systems. Box 4 provides a summary of findings of a comprehensive farmer survey designed and implemented under a Service Agreement between the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) and iLogix in support of the N2Africa Project and in collaboration with the Legume Alliance sister projects using Computer Aided Telephone Interviews (CATI).



### Box III: Dissemination Approaches for Scaling Up Improved Legume Technologies in Tanzania

The effectiveness of different communications approaches was assessed in a survey, consisting of two modules. The first module focused on farmer behaviour on beans and soyabean, intention to buy, availability of inputs and demographics. The second module evolved around the question *'What are the 3 most important or relevant topics you learned about soyabean or beans in the last 3 years'* and it was found that N2Africa and partners' dissemination campaigns resulted in 85% of new learning among respondents while 15% of the respondents had no (new) learning effect from the campaigns.

*Row cropping and early land preparation* were the most frequently mentioned topics by 37% of the respondents.. *Crop rotation*, was mentioned by 12% of the respondents, 8% *seeding rates*, 5% *remove diseased crop residues*. On inputs, 31% mentioned the *use of chemical fertilizer*, 22% *use quality seeds (certified or QDS)*, 21% *use of manure*, 19% *pesticide use*, 4% *herbicide use*, 3% *use of inoculants*, and 2% *use of PICS bags for storage*.

The learning topics mentioned were found to be in line with uptake, although uptake was (much) higher as some topics would have been known to the respondents before the survey. In that light, it is for instance worthwhile to mention that *'Use of PICS bags for storage'* was meant as learning topic by a small percentage (2%), but the first module of the survey showed that PICS bags are in use by 29% of the respondents.

The popularity of *'Row planting'*, *'Early land preparation'* and *'Use of chemical fertilizer'* also matches with the first module. The first module showed that chemical fertilizers were used on farmer main fields in the last completed season by 44% of the respondents. For seed, only 9% of the farmers had bought certified-quality seeds. However, on the question about farmers' intention to *'purchase certified seeds of the most preferred variety at TZShs. 3,000 per kg for the next suitable season'*, 1,696 out of 2,477 farmers (68%) confirmed this intention. This means that uptake of quality seed was mainly hampered by non-availability/ inaccessibility, and not by awareness of quality seeds.

For *'Use of inoculants'*, mentioned by only 3% as major learning topic, 8% of the farmers used, and about 20% of the farmers were aware of inoculants, of which 83% intended to buy at TZS 10,000 per 100-gram. Of this 83%, three quarters (73%) did not have a source to buy inoculants. Like seeds, uptake is therefore constrained by lack of availability, but in contrast, low awareness is also an important limiting factor for the uptake of inoculants.

The interventions through which they learned above topics were assessed using the following question; *'If you had to choose one major influence on your learning, what would this be as regards Interventions'*.

An intervention for their learning topic applied to 68% of the respondents. *Demonstration plots* were the most frequently mentioned major influence on learning, mentioned by 85% of the 68%. Another 10% mentioned radio programs, and 7% Information leaflets and posters.

The fact that *'Demonstration plots'* are leading as the most important intervention could be explained by the source of respondents: 90% of the respondents came from the N2Africa partner value chain (VC) project organizations. Demonstrations often come with a package of field days and if in strategic locations, can be observed by anyone having an interest or happens to pass by them.

After interventions, the question was asked *'If you had to choose one major influence on your learning on the topics, what it would be as regards personal relation -interactions'*.

On average 1.5 different interactions were mentioned. *From my own experience* was the most frequently mentioned interaction with a major influence on learning by 49% of the respondents for whom at least one interaction applied (97%). Another 34% mentioned extension officers, and 27% neighbours, friends and family. On private sector chain actors, CBOs were mentioned by 15% of the respondents, 8% village-based advisors (VBAs), 7% Agro-dealers and 0.3% a private company.

The last question asked was *'Would you like to receive other information on soyabeans or beans'*. Most respondents (96%) wished to receive other information and on average 2 other topics were mentioned.

The top six topics mentioned were *'quality seeds'*, *'markets'*, *'marketing'*, *'pesticide use'*, *'use the right variety'* and *'use of chemical fertilizer'*. Markets and marketing were more frequently mentioned by men than women, and if combined were mentioned by near half (42%) of the respondents.



The project continued to facilitate the availability of inoculants in all countries through PPPs. **Error! Reference source not found.** 6 summarises the status of inoculant supply in each country.

**Table 4. Inoculant distribution channels and volumes produced (number of packets year<sup>-1</sup>)**

| Country  | Mode of availability           | Inoculant brand  | Main producer /importer        | Quantity produced/imported<br>(# of packets year <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|----------|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Ghana    | Importation & Local production | Nodumax, Sarifix | Green-ef                       | 1875 packets of 100 g  |
| Ethiopia | Local production               |                  | Menagesha Biotech Industry Plc | 208,445 packets of 25 g  |
| Nigeria  | Local Production               | NoduMax          | IITA-BIP                       | 76,750 packets of 100 g  |
| Tanzania | Importation                    | LegumeFix        | IITA/GCL                       | 4,000 packets of 250 g   |
| Uganda*  | Local Production               | MakFixer         | Makerere University            |  |

\*No data from Uganda as at time of reporting

Table 4 shows that inoculant production and/or importation is still ongoing in the core countries at varied degrees with either an established distribution channels such as Ethiopia through agro dealers and Cooperative unions, Nigeria through Village Promoters, agro dealers and Anchor Borrower's Program model.

#### 1.2.2.1 Dissemination of legume inputs

To address the challenge of limited access to and use of legume seeds, inoculants, and fertilizers, the countries continued to pursue the various strategies put in place in 2017. Most national systems (e.g. ARI Uyole in Tanzania, and ARARI, EIAR and OARI in Ethiopia) continue to produce foundation seeds. They make this seed accessible to seed companies for further production of certified seeds. In Tanzania for example, a total of 18.6 t of foundation seeds (soyabean and common bean) was produced by ARI Uyole in 2018. However, in Tanzania, there is some level of contamination of foundation seeds from research institutions leading to some losses by ASA and seed companies.

Seed companies and community-based seed producers are still engaged in producing certified and quality declared seeds. Table 5 shows the quantity of certified and quality declared seeds produced by seed companies and quantities sold. It is shown that 70.4% of the seeds produced are sold either directly by farmer groups in their communities to other farmers or sold (in bulk) to seed companies and agro-dealers. Soyabean seeds are much produced and sold across the countries and the least produced are common beans.



**Table 5. Seed quantities produced and sold by seed companies and farmers in selected countries<sup>9</sup> in 2018.**

| Legume Type  | Quantity produced          | Quantity Sold              | % Sold    |
|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
|              | (tons year <sup>-1</sup> ) | (tons year <sup>-1</sup> ) | (%)       |
| Soyabean     | 296.2                      | 273.3                      | 92        |
| Groundnut    | 650.4                      | 214.2                      | 32        |
| Cowpea       | 440.9                      | 233.4                      | 53        |
| Common Bean  | 32.0                       | 16.9                       | 53        |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>1,419.5</b>             | <b>737.8</b>               | <b>52</b> |

\*Source of data: Project ME&L and Country reports

From the available data, a total of 737.8<sup>10</sup> t of seeds was sold by seed companies, agro-dealers, Village Promoters and community-based seed producers in 2018 in the project target areas, increasing the cumulative volume of seeds sold by producer groups from 3,399 t year<sup>-1</sup> to 4136.8 t year<sup>-1</sup>, a 62% achievement of the target for 2018 (6,660 t year<sup>-1</sup>). In addition, the high percentage of soyabean, cowpea and common bean seeds sold in the target areas indicates the alignment of producer groups needs to those of input dealers.

Though the cumulative volume of seed increased, the actual quantity sold in 2018 was 46% less than that sold in 2017. Many factors account for this, but seed producers mainly devote this to the lack of access to organized seed markets. The majority indicated they were selling seed in open markets, which offers low prices and low quantities.

The volume of inoculants sold (62 t) across the core countries in 2018 exceeded the 2018 target of 56 t by 10% (Fig. 5). The largest proportion of inoculants was sold in Ethiopia (56%) and Nigeria (41%). Various channels were used in the distribution of the inoculants. In Ethiopia, farmer cooperative unions signed contract agreements to serve as dealers and marketed a total of 1451 packets (@125g/sachet) of chickpea, faba bean and soyabean inoculants. The unions played an important role in bridging the huge gap between inoculant supplier (MBI) and smallholder farmers. In Nigeria, about 50% of the total volume of inoculants were distributed through a private sector variant of the Anchor Borrowers Program and mostly involving beneficiaries of N2Africa who were screened and supported to enrol in the program in 2018. Additional distribution was done through the IITA Business Incubation Platform (IP).


In Tanzania, about a ton of inoculants were imported to test the distribution model agreed upon by partners in 2017. Partners considered the model to be effective for timely distribution of inoculant as it focuses on understanding key drivers for product promotion on the short and medium terms. Though it was difficult to achieve the sales target in Tanzania (mostly due to the lack of market for the 2017 produce), a survey conducted across 100 farmers in Njombe, Songea, Morogoro and Iringa by partners indicated that 85% of farmers were willing and ready to purchase inoculants in the coming season. Also, a rising demand for common bean inoculant was observed (80% of respondents). By the time this report was produced, inoculant demand stood at 680 kg, and it could double as the growing season approaches.

Though there have been improvements in the volumes of inoculant distributed and used, challenges remain to be resolved. Critical among them are the unwillingness of most agro-input dealers to stock rhizobia inoculants due to storage problems. Also, because of small acreages being cultivated by farmers, volumes per season appear to be low, which also increases the transaction cost for distributors. This results in low profit margins which are unattractive to investors.


<sup>10</sup> Data available for only 28 partnerships for 2018



With the use of fertilizers, 54% of the 2018 target (11,100 t year<sup>-1</sup>) was achieved, with a volume of fertilizer of 6,046 t. These volumes are largely obtained from agro dealers (working in the target areas) in Ghana and Nigeria. In Tanzania, there is a “bulk procurement” policy of fertilizers by companies who win tenders and establish indicative prices for different target areas. The majority of local agro-dealers hesitated to sell fertilizers in 2017-2018, as it was considered not profitable. With this, it was difficult recording fertilizer sales and use in the target areas. Though the overall targets for fertilizer have not been met in 2018, the use of fertilizers from a sample of farmer groups in Borno Nigeria indicates over 590 t year<sup>-1</sup> in the project operational areas.



**Figure 5. Volume of inoculants used by farmers in 2017 and 2018 (targeted and achieved) (t year<sup>-1</sup>). Data are based on available records from Project MEL system**



**Figure 6. Volume of fertilizers used by farmers in 2017 and 2018 (targeted and achieved) (t year<sup>-1</sup>). Data are based on records from N2Africa farmer groups.**





Due to abovementioned reasons, the use of the various inputs has been a challenge. However, preliminary results of the project's impact survey indicate that the use of some inputs, such as inoculants, is significantly larger among N2Africa participants than non-participants (Table 6)

**Table 6. Summary of survey results on the use of legume technologies**

| Crop technologies and practices | Assessment parameter | Treated (n=1848) | Non-treated (n=1896) | Total (n=3744) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Inoculant                       | Ever Apply           | 31.4             | 9.2                  | 20.1           |
|                                 | Currently use        | 14.2             | 3.4                  | 8.7            |
| Legume Practices                | Ever Apply           | 71.7             | 43.0                 | 57.4           |
|                                 | Currently use        | 66.3             | 40.0                 | 53.2           |
| Improved seed                   | Ever plant           | 64.3             | 41.7                 | 53.2           |
|                                 | Currently plant      | 50.3             | 35.3                 | 43.0           |
| Fertilizer                      | Ever Apply           | 36.2             | 21.8                 | 29.0           |
|                                 | Currently use        | 29.0             | 18.8                 | 23.9           |

Table 6 indicates that about 92% of farmers who ever applied a particular practice continue to use such practices currently. This suggests a continuation of the use of practices since their introduction to the respondents. In the same vein, 78% of farmers who have ever used improved seeds continue to use them. This can be attributed to the fact that the practices do not require infrastructure and mainly depend on farmer behaviour change to integrate in the farming systems and the contribution of benefits that accrue to each of the practices. With regards to seeds, much can be attributed to the numerous interventions by N2Africa and its partners in the target areas. Seed companies are seen taking up the production and distribution of seeds of various varieties in the target areas.

Though the percentage of respondents currently using inoculants and fertilizer are less compared to practices and seeds, the percentage of respondents who continue to use the two technologies after ever applying any are 80% and 45% respectively. Private sector investment in inoculant production and distribution has not been fully taken off in all countries as assumed by the project.

### 1.2.3 Output market access and collective marketing

The output market, being a driving force for investment in inputs, has been given the necessary attention in the project, in addition to adding value to legume grain and alternative income generation from legumes. A cumulative total of 176,910<sup>11</sup> persons (41% female) have been involved in collective marketing and value addition. Value addition took place at both household and commercial levels. For participation in collective marketing, 64% of the 2018 target has been achieved (Table 7).

Major buying outlets include cooperatives, companies with both formal and informal agreements and the open markets. In Tanzania, the Catholic Relief Services Soyabean farmers sold about 69% of their produce through collective marketing to Soldecom commodities, KEA and Matembwe village companies. Partners in Ghana have linked farmers in seven districts to two major buying companies (Ghana Nuts and Vester Oil) and also sustained the link with Savanna Marketing company. Though grain harvesting is still on-going in Ghana and some other countries, some farmers have already sold some existing produce and most new sales agreements will be effective in 2019.

Although some farmers participate in collective marketing, challenges remain. Open markets, still being an important marketing channel, offer low quantities and the market locations usually pose

<sup>11</sup> 2018 data is obtained from the 28 partnerships in which 61% covered output market

transport challenges to farmers. Low quality grain and high storage costs for bulking remain challenges as well.

**Table 7. Number of farmers accessing output market in 2017 and 2018 (targeted and achieved).**

| Targeted 2017(#) | Achieved 2017(#) | Targeted 2018(#) | Achieved 2018(#) |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 195,000          | 149,818          | 275,000          | 176,910          |

### 1.3 Empower women to increase benefits from legume production



A session on sensitization with women for Village Savings and Loans in Nigeria

To empower women to benefit from the legume value chain, various interventions were implemented in the areas of: gender-specific themes for dissemination activities, identifying and supporting the establishment of women businesses, value addition, and promoting access to labour-saving tools. These interventions were an integral part of all partnership agreements depending on the needs.

#### 1.3.1 Overall women's participation

Women empowerment starts with participation in project key activities. About 31% of Lead farmers who hosted dissemination trials (e.g. demonstration and adaptation) were female; 36% of the participants for step-down trainings and 35% for ToTs were female. Women again form 41% of total farmers reached in 2018. In 2018, Tanzania achieved the highest percentage of female participation compared to other countries. A total number of 18,227 female farmers (58%) participated in project activities in Tanzania in 2018.

Gender mainstreaming strategies and interventions were developed using country context and the results of gender studies conducted. Gender specific themes were defined based on the constraints, and integrated into the dissemination activities of the different partners. In Borno, for instance,




communities were sensitized on gender mainstreaming and its importance, taking into consideration the context of North Eastern Nigeria and the need to support women and male and female youth to participate in project activities, especially business entrepreneurship for better living conditions. Messages were used like *“it is time to move on”, “leave no one behind”,* and *“be a giver not a beggar”,* as an entry point for women’s participation in project activities. In Borno, many women are left with children to cater for because the husbands are either abducted or killed in the insurgency. The campaign involved supporting women to realize their potentials in various sectors of the economy such as crop production, business engagement with legumes and input marketing, as well as diversification of marketing activities with cottage products like detergents, perfumes, antiseptics (Dettol), room freshener etc. A total 257 women were involved in these business activities.

### **1.3.2 Legume processing tools improving nutritional status**

Legume processing interventions were supported with the aim of improving the nutritional status of farming communities. Trainings focused on sensitization of farming communities on the nutritional values of the different legumes being grown, and methods of processing of legumes into different products which can be integrated into household diets and taken up as business. With the trainings and other related interventions within the project partnerships, many individuals in farmer groups and communities have taking up legume processing at either household levels or small commercial businesses. In Borno, 20 farmer groups (out of a total of 101 groups) have members processing legumes at household levels, whereas 860 persons across the 101 groups have taken up processing as small enterprises across the communities. The main processed products include groundnut oil, soyabean milk, groundnut and soyabean cakes, akara, African biscuits, etc. In all, a cumulative number of 13,000 women have been involved in processing of various products and using various legume technologies from 2014.

### **1.3.3 Labour-saving tools**

Farmers often grow a limited area of legumes on their farm, as they consider planting, weeding and threshing of legumes to be labour intensive. To reduce labour demand, the project validated planters, herbicides (post and pre-emergence) or threshers across all countries and developed a joint model to enable farmers to access the tools. These models include specific tool service providers (e.g. Youth Spraying Service Providers in Borno), providers of other services such as tractor operators, and nucleus farmers integrating threshing as part of their services to farmers for an agreed fee. A cumulative total of 48,462 farmers (37% female) have used the various labour-saving tools in 2018. Herbicides continue to be the most widely used labour-saving tool (94% in 2018 and 92% in 2017). However, in Tanzania, the preliminary results of a validation exercise for herbicides show that herbicides could reduce labour for weeding in legumes by more than 40%, but with a negative cost-benefit ratio. This is largely because of high cost of herbicide (also indicated by farmers in other countries) and small sizes of legume fields. Testing of herbicides will be repeated under the Africa RISING-NAFAKA project to ascertain results for the upcoming season in Tanzania. In Borno, spraying service providers continue to provide access to herbicides for many farmers, and therefore sustain the use of the tool. The target for the number of farmers using labour-saving tools was met by 87%. This indicates that 8% of the farmers reached were using labour-saving tools, against a target of 10%.



**Figure 7. Number of farmers using labour-saving tools in 2018 (targeted and achieved).**

#### **Box IV. The use of threshers in Ghana – the Nucleus farmers model**

A reaper and four (4) threshers were evaluated. In all, about 200 farmers (44 M, 156 F) were directly involved in the post-service-provision validation in six communities. The labour-saving tools were purchased by two individual nucleus farmers and who provided post-harvest services to their farmers, as well as other farmers within the communities in which they operate.

A total of 424 farmers have been able to access the services (63 M, 361 F). The large proportion of women having access is explained by the fact that post-harvest activities are mainly seen as women’s activities and these participating farmers were predominantly women’s groups. Payment for the services was done mostly in kind (10 bags:1bags) for threshed grains. Payments could be made in cash, when needed (and were actually indicated by farmers’ to be their preferred choice instead of “kind payment”). However, most farmers do not have cash available at the time of post-harvest processing and service providers will not provide services on credit.

The threshers and reaper are were not adequate considering the large number of farmers being enthusiastic about accessing the services. This resulted in compromising on quality and efficiency of services, as service providers usually have to rush. Acquisition of more threshers and further improvements in operational efficiency presents an opportunity to be explored. A few farmers have expressed interest in acquiring a thresher under a grant scheme.

With planters, many prototypes have been validated across the countries, but few met the criteria set by farmers and hence few farmers used them. Those who did obtained planters through direct purchases (e.g. Kwara group in Nigeria) or accessed them through service providers (such as nucleus farmers in Ghana). However, most planters did not meet the criteria of farmers and service providers. In Tanzania, all three prototypes of planters did not meet most of farmers’ set criteria (not breaking seed, ease of handling, efficiency in planting, and possibilities of using them on different types of soils and terrain). Partners have agreed to modify planters locally by Agromech Limited to further improve their efficiency as recommended by farmers. The Africa RISING-NAFAKA project is keen to further evaluate the new generation of planters once ready.



Threshers and reapers were also validated, and integrated into nucleus farmers' activities in Ghana (Box IV and Box V provide examples from Ghana and Ethiopia).

#### **Box IV: Validation results of threshers in Ethiopia**

Soyabean and common bean threshing machines were validated in a pilot by N2Africa in four Woredas in Ethiopia in conjunction with the Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research's Mechanization Research Directorate and Shayashone (SYS) Consulting. The validation consisted of quantitative results of three major parameters (Economic, Social and Technical) obtained from the pilot, feedback collected from focus group discussion and expert observations.


The economic parameters investigated were threshing speed, threshing loss, output quality, cost saving, labour saving and bi-product quality. For almost all these parameters the machine threshing outperformed the traditional hand and animal-based threshing. For example, the cost of threshing for a quintal of common bean using the machine is 26.6 birr while it is 82 birr for hand threshing. In the case of soyabean, the cost of threshing with the machine is 69.8 birr as compared to 155.9 birr for animal-based threshing. Similarly, the machine threshes 106 kg of soyabean and 240 kg of common bean per hour, while only 23 kg of soya bean and 9.5 kg of common bean can be threshed using animal-based and hand threshing, respectively. In general, farmers' reflection on the economic parameters was highly positive.

On the technical and social aspects, the key parameters analyzed were: ease of operation, maintenance and spare parts, durability, portability and power consumption. From the focus group discussion, farmers noted that the machine does not require sophisticated knowledge and skill to operate. However, they raised portability as a limitation, which can be improved by installing wheels on the machine. Issues of maintenance and spare parts came out strongly based on previous experience with other grain threshers. It was noted that often such machines come once, and there is hardly any maintenance or spare part available afterwards. Hence, the technology does not get through to the wider public.


On the supply side, the key issues of who could manufacture the thresher and what could be the best model of reaching the farmers were addressed. Of the three possibilities of manufacturing (Selam Technology Center, ATVET or a private workshop), private workshops were preferred, particularly if they were widely available. Rental or lease services can be another option to reach the community. In terms of possible channels of distribution, three channels were identified: cooperatives, youth enterprises and private agro-dealers. The choice of those channels depends on three major criteria: (1) ability to develop a market (2) access to finance to supply the service (3) price competitiveness. Given these, we think there is no one single model that fits all areas. We suggest using one of the three depending on context.

#### **1.3.4 Businesses established and led by women**

A total of ten businesses were expected to be established and led by women by the end of the project in 2018; all to be established in 2017. This target was exceeded, as a total of 32 businesses (mainly SMEs) were established (Figure 8). These businesses include seed production (QDS and certified seeds), bulking and marketing of grain legumes, processing, and sales of livestock feed using residues. A cumulative total of more than 10,000 women were involved in these businesses (Figure 9).



**Figure 8. Number of businesses established by women in 2017 and 2018.**



**Figure 9. Cumulative Number of women involved in established businesses**

### 1.3.5 Status of the Borno Youth Agripreneur (BYAP) interventions in 2018

N2Africa continued its interventions on its Youth component in Borno, focusing though on limited but key interventions such as exit strategies to guarantee continued technical support, input and output markets operations and industrial trainings (internship) for the agripreneurs. A key exit strategy includes the linkage with ENABLE TAAT and the Feed-the-Future Integrated Agriculture Activity (FtF/IA) in north-eastern Nigeria. The ENABLE TAAT program, as a youth component of



TAAT, will provide a platform for continued learning and sharing of lessons by the various youth involved.

A Public Private Partnership (PPP) on youth agripreneurs involving IITA/N2Africa, OLAM Grains International, Central Bank of Nigeria/NIRSAL, Anchor Borrowers Programme (ABP), National Agricultural Insurance Corporation (NAIC), and Keystone bank was initiated early 2018, and targeted guaranteed input and output market operations and the institutionalization of N2Africa technologies at scale. Unfortunately, this activity could not materialize due to security reasons, but the relationships and commitments from these stakeholders still exist to ensure continued support.

With regards to non-degree training, an industrial training opportunity (internship) on livestock feed milling was offered to six youth agripreneurs (3 male, 3 female) in Kaduna, at Kabfat livestock feeds, Hybrid feeds Ltd and Olam grains international. This capacity boost has been very useful in the production and sales of poultry feeds among the youth entrepreneurs and their host communities. It has increased production efficiency in broiler production with regards to cost of production and has increased profitability and business sustainability. A key example is the consistent production of broilers weighing between 1.6 kg – 2.1 kg at 7 weeks by one of the female youth agripreneurs.

### **Empowering women under the BYAP**

As a key component of the project to empower women to benefit from the legume value chains, it was key to ensure women participation in the Borno Youth Agripreneur interventions. More than 40% of participants were women, with some establishing businesses along the segments of the value chain. Box V gives a success story and progress of a woman business among the youth agripreneurs.

#### **Box V. The Success Story of Mercy Wakawa: A BYAP Beneficiary**

Mercy Wakawa (a youth agripreneur) is the founder and Managing Director of Confianza Global Resources that is processing groundnuts into oil, sludge and cake for livestock feed. Mercy says groundnut processing is a profitable business as a ton of good groundnut grains gives an average of 450 litres of oil and 400 kg of groundnut cake which is a major raw material for animal feed mills. Confianza Global Resources is currently employing four youth (1 permanent and 3 casuals) from the host community. The business has also created downstream livelihood opportunities for many women in sludge processing and marketing in the host community.

Business has been good for Mercy, except for seasonal price fluctuation of raw materials (groundnut grains). The average total cost of processing 1 ton of grain including overheads is US\$ 583 while the average total revenue from oil, cake and sludge is US\$ 889. All things being equal, the factory can process an average of 3-5 tons of grain per week. Her business was recently evaluated by the Bank of Industry (BOI), Nigeria; and based on performance and prospects was offered a term loan of \$ 6,319 to be disbursed towards the acquisition of more equipment for edible oil milling as well as working capital. This gives an indication that Confianza Global Resources is gradually being integrated into the mainstream private sector.

In the words of Saleh the factory technician, “the establishment of Mercy’s company provided a lifeline for me, because I have been rendered jobless for months by my former employer in Kaduna”.

### **The Critical Success Factor of the BYAP**

The strong focus on “youth engagement for profitable agribusiness and sustainable livelihood” led to the evolution of the starter pack approach under the N2Africa project. Experience has since shown that the provision of a tangible starting grant in cash and kind soon after training is critical to business take-off, while access to finance/bank credit is important to growing the established businesses. Consequent upon the provision of about US\$ 2000 per youth agripreneur to kick-start their



businesses, the top 30 businesses have been able to operate with an average cost–benefit ratio (CBR) of 1:1.5 in the last 24 months of business activities.

This support has also assisted a few of them to become credit worthy to financial institutions like the Bank of Agriculture (BOA) and Bank of Industry (BOI). A good case in point is the business expansion credit offered by BOI to the groundnut oil processing mill established by a female beneficiary under the project. While another group of 20 have had their loan applications approved by BOA; Keystone bank, UBA and FCMB are also initiating business relationships with some of the youth agripreneurs. A significant rider to these developments is that the young agripreneurs are most importantly creating job opportunities for other young men and women in Borno State and environs.

It is important to stress the fact that the provision of start-up grant to young entrepreneurs is a critical success factor. It is in line with the FAO's focal areas for investment in sustainable livelihoods, such as deliberate efforts at increasing access to assets and building resilience and recovery capacities in especially traumatized societies like Borno State, Nigeria. This is equally reflected in the special enterprise infrastructural/equipment support (such as multipurpose grain flour milling machine, groundnut oil processing mill, livestock feed mill and grain threshers) to unique enterprises/entrepreneurs. These approaches combined with appropriate internship opportunities proved to be an effective package of business booster as well as strategic N2Africa legacies.





## 1.4 Tailor and adapt legume technologies to close yield gaps and expand the area of legume production within the farm

### 1.4.1 Diagnostic, demonstration, and adaptation trials

A total of 18 diagnostic trials were established in 2018 in Ethiopia as continuation of the variety by strain trials for the third season. The repeated trials are to complement the quality of datasets generated in 2016 and 2017 in which extreme variabilities in the performances of the trials across agro-ecologies were observed.


For dissemination of the technologies, a total of 157 demonstration trials were established focusing on disseminating a single technology or a combination of technologies. As part of the process, farmers evaluate these technologies to ascertain their preferred technologies, and to reshape the technology packages to be accessed by farmers. In addition to demonstration trials, 1,569 adaptation trials were established. A selection of these adaptation trials was monitored to assess the performance of the technologies under the heterogeneous farmers' conditions and management. Table 9 gives an overview of the total number of trials established in the Core countries in 2018.

**Table 8. Total number of diagnostic, demonstration, and adaptation trials established per country in 2018.**

|              | Diagnostic trials (#) | Demonstration trials (#) | Adaptation trials (#) |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Ghana        | -                     | 24                       | -                     |
| Nigeria      | -                     | -                        | -                     |
| Borno State  | -                     | 80                       | 200                   |
| Ethiopia     | 18                    | 27                       | 1140                  |
| Tanzania     | -                     | 5                        | -                     |
| Uganda*      | -                     | 21                       | 229                   |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>18</b>             | <b>157</b>               | <b>1,569</b>          |


\*Data from Uganda is yet to be verified

In Tanzania, five demonstrations of common bean were held. Treatments demonstrated included a control (no amendment); Nitrogen (N) alone at 40 kg/ha; phosphorus (P) at 20 kg/ha; N+P (40 kg N + 20 kg P); inoculant 1 (Legumefix –from Legume Technology UK); inoculant 2 (Rhizoliqbean – from Rizobacter Argentina); inoculant 1+P; and inoculant 2+P. Results from the demonstrations show the importance of inoculants, P fertilizers and their combinations on increasing bean production (Figure 10). The performance of inoculants was similar to the addition of N fertilizer. Beans planted in the demonstrations performed well, unlike previous seasons. This could be attributed to good weather and the selection of demonstration sites with good initial soil fertility.



**Figure 10. Response of common bean to N and P fertilizer, and rhizobium inoculants as observed in farmers’ fields in Lushoto (n = 4)**

In Ethiopia, results from on-farm dissemination trials demonstrated that using improved legume seeds with inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer resulted in better grain yields than the national average yield of each legume, albeit with variable responses across agro-ecologies (Figure 11).



**Figure 11. Comparison of mean national yields (2013-2017) of the four targeted legumes with the N2Africa-Ethiopia dissemination trials (2013-2017) across different agro-ecological locations.**

#### 1.4.2 Recommendations for best-fit technologies

The combined results of yields and farmers’ evaluations of diagnostic, demonstration and adaptation trials over multiple seasons led to the development of best-fit recommendations for the different legumes in the Core countries (Table 9).



**Table 9. Best-fit recommendations for legume cultivation based on diagnostic, demonstration and adaptation trials.**

| Country  | Legume        | Treatment  |
|----------|---------------|--|
| Ghana    | Cowpea        | Varieties Padi-tuya (Upper West Region) or Wang-Kae (Upper East and Northern Regions); New Yara Legume fertilizer  |
| Ghana    | Groundnut     | Variety Samnut 22; New Yara Legume fertilizer  |
| Ghana    | Soyabean      | Varieties Afayak (farmer preferred) or Suongpungun in Upper East and Northern Regions, or TGX 1985-10E (early maturing) in Upper East and West Regions; New Yara Legume fertilizer; inoculants |
| Nigeria  | Soyabean      | Varieties TGx 1951 - 3F; TGx 1955 – 4F; TGx 1904 – 6F or TGx 1835 – 10E; farm yard manure; SSP fertilizer; inoculants  |
| Nigeria  | Groundnut     | P+K fertilizer   |
| Ethiopia | Bush bean     | P-fertilizer; inoculants   |
| Ethiopia | Chickpea      | P-fertilizer; inoculants; 30 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> of S (northern Ethiopia)  |
| Ethiopia | Soyabean      | P-fertilizer; inoculants; 60 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> of K <sub>2</sub> O and 4.6 t ha <sup>-1</sup> of lime (acidic soils in western Ethiopia)   |
| Tanzania | Bush bean     | Variety Lyamungu 90; (N)PK fertilizer; inoculants  |
| Tanzania | Cowpea        | Variety Tumaini; P-fertilizer  |
| Tanzania | Groundnut     | Variety Pendo; farm yard manure; Minjingu Rock Phosphate (MRP); gypsum; Aflasafe   |
| Uganda   | Soyabean      | Variety Maksoy 3N; TSP; inoculants   |
| Uganda   | Climbing bean | NABE 12C; farmyard manure P-fertilizer   |

For Ethiopia, the list of best-fit practices that have been tested by N2Africa-Ethiopia with public and private partners in the seven PPP clusters are presented below (Table 10). These best-fit practices are the results of demonstration trials which are deemed proven enough to be scaled out for many more farmers. This information would be documented in manuals with the explanations on the approaches and the lessons learnt in order to reach to a wider range of farming communities.



**Table 10. Best-fit recommendations for legume production for the seven PPP cluster woredas in Ethiopia**

| #  | Cluster    | Legume    | Woreda        | Variety                  | P fertilizer                 | Inoculant              |
|----|------------|-----------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1  | South      | Bush bean | Shalla        | Nasir                    | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5             | HB-429                 |
| 2  | South      | Bush bean | Boricha       | Nasir, Awassa Dume       | 23 kg/ha P2O5                | HB-429; HB-A-15        |
| 3  | South      | Bush bean | Soddo Zuria   | Awassa Dume              | 50 kg NPS                    | HB-429                 |
| 4  | South      | Bush bean | Halaba        | Nasir, Awassa Dume       | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5             | HB-429; HB-A-15        |
| 5  | South      | Chickpea  | Damot Gale    | Habru, Arerti            | 23 kg/ha P2O5                | CP-29                  |
| 6  | South-East | Faba bean | Goba          | Degaga, Dosha            | 23 kg/ha P2O5                | EAL-110                |
| 7  | South-East | Faba bean | Agarfa        | Degaga, Moti             | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5             | EAL-110                |
| 8  | South-East | Faba bean | Sinana        | Degaga, Shallo           | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5             | EAL-110                |
| 9  | South-East | Chickpea  | Ginir         | Arerti, Habru            | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5             | CP-29                  |
| 10 | North      | Faba bean | Dabat         | Wolki, Dosha             | 23 kg/ha P2O5                | FB04, EAL-110          |
| 11 | North      | Faba bean | Debark        | Wolki, Dosha             | 23 kg/ha P2O5                | FB04, EAL-110          |
| 12 | North      | Faba bean | Yilmana Densa | Wolki, Moti              | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5             | FB04, EAL-110          |
| 13 | North      | Chickpea  | Dembia        | Arerti                   | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5             | CP-29                  |
| 14 | North      | Chickpea  | Gonder Zuria  | Arerti, Habru            | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5; 30 kg/ha S | CP-29                  |
| 15 | North      | Chickpea  | Enemay        | Arerti, Habru            | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5             | CP-29                  |
| 16 | North      | Soyabean  | Alefa         | TGX-13-3-2644, Belesa-95 | 23 kg/ha P2O5                | MAR-1495 <sup>12</sup> |
| 17 | Central    | Chickpea  | Ada'a         | Arerti                   | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5             | CP-29                  |
| 18 | Central    | Chickpea  | Becho         | Arerti                   | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5             | CP-29                  |
| 19 | Central    | Chickpea  | Gimbichu      | Arerti, Natoli           | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5             | CP-29                  |

<sup>12</sup> MAR 1495 is the strain USDA 122 from the Marondera, Grassland Research Institute in Zimbabwe



| #  | Cluster | Legume    | Woreda     | Variety                  | P fertilizer  | Inoculant       |
|----|---------|-----------|------------|--------------------------|---|-----------------|
| 20 | Jimma   | Soyabean  | Kersa      | Clark-63K                | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5                                    | MAR-1495        |
| 21 | Jimma   | Soyabean  | Tiro Afeta | Clark-63K                | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5                                    | MAR-1495        |
| 22 | Pawe    | Soyabean  | Pawe       | Belesa-95, TGX-13-3-2644 | 23 kg/ha P2O5                                       | MAR-1495        |
| 23 | Pawe    | Soyabean  | Jawi       | Belesa-95, TGX-13-3-2644 | 23 kg/ha P2O5                                       | MAR-1495        |
| 24 | Pawe    | Soyabean  | Mandura    | Belesa-95, TGX-13-3-2644 | 23 kg/ha P2O5                                       | MAR-1495        |
| 25 | Pawe    | Bush bean | Mandura    | Nasir                    | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5                                    | HB-429; HB-A-15 |
| 26 | Pawe    | Bush bean | Dibatie    | Nasir                    | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5                                    | HB-429; HB-A-15 |
| 27 | Chewaka | Soyabean  | Bako Tibe  | Dhidhessa                | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5; 60 kg/ha K2O and 4.6 t/ha of lime | MAR-1495        |
| 28 | Chewaka | Soyabean  | Dano       | Keta, Dhidhessa          | 23 kg/ha P2O5                                       | MAR-1495        |
| 29 | Chewaka | Soyabean  | Illu Gelan | Dhidhessa                | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5                                    | MAR-1495        |
| 30 | Chewaka | Soyabean  | Gobu Sayo  | Dhidhessa                | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5                                    | MAR-1495        |
| 31 | Chewaka | Soyabean  | Wayu Tuka  | Dhidhessa                | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5                                    | MAR-1495        |
| 32 | Chewaka | Soyabean  | Chewaka    | Dhidhessa                | 23 kg/ha P2O5; 60 kg/ha K2O and 4.6 t/ha of lime    | MAR-1495        |
| 33 | Chewaka | Bush bean | Bako Tibe  | Nasir, Awassa Dume       | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5                                    | HB-429; HB-A-15 |
| 34 | Chewaka | Bush bean | Gobu Sayo  | Nasir                    | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5                                    | HB-429; HB-A-15 |
| 35 | Chewaka | Bush bean | Wayu Tuka  | Nasir                    | 23-46 kg/ha P2O5                                    | HB-429; HB-A-15 |




### 1.4.3 Rhizobiology: Country Updates

#### 1.4.3.1 Strain evaluation in Tanzania


In Tanzania, elite rhizobia strains of soyabean and groundnuts were evaluated to come up with strains that could be readily advanced to inoculant production. Strains of common bean and cowpea were supposed to be tested as well, but unfortunately those could not be obtained from the Nodumax factory at Ibadan Nigeria.

The experiments were established at three sites Suluti, Milundikwa and Mbimba in the Southern Highlands of Tanzania. Soyabean strains evaluated included NAK 12, NAK 84, RACA 6, RANI 22 and IRJ 2180A, against a commercial inoculant Legumefix, a commercial strain USDA 110, N at 20 kg/ha and a control (no amendment). Groundnut strains tested included IGB469, NJR 493, NC 92, SBG 234, SNN 336 and SNN 343, against a control and N at 20 kg/ha.

The results in this season showed no significant differences between the evaluated elite rhizobia strains, but there was clear indication of the need for inoculation (Figure 12a). The commercial inoculant Legumefix and the commercial strain USDA 110 performed slightly better than the rest of strains. Interestingly, the strain RANI 22 showed consistency in terms of performance when compared to the previous season.



**Figure 12. Performance of soyabean elite rhizobia strains in the Southern Highlands of Tanzania (n = 3)**



**Figure 13. Performance of groundnut elite rhizobia strains in the Southern Highlands of Tanzania (n = 3)**

There were no significant differences in yield of groundnut treated with different strains. However, groundnut inoculated with strain NC 92 had a consistent performance compared with last season, in contrast to other strains.

It is worth noting that results of the performance of both soyabean and groundnut strains are based on final grain yield and not on other parameters like biomass accumulation and nodulation, which are equally important in evaluation of rhizobia strains. Data on other parameters are being compiled by TARI Uyole and a detailed analysis will be done and reported. However, cross-country analysis of strain evaluation trials is required in order to identify a more stable strain that can be advanced as commercial strain.

#### 1.4.3.2 Inoculant quality control in Tanzania

During the reporting period, quality control of inoculants was conducted by Sokoine University of Agriculture for the newly registered inoculants by Rizobacter (rizoliq soy) and a stock of Legumefix inoculants stored at IITA for Guavay (N2Africa partner). The lab testing results are:

RizoliqSoy:  $8.6 \times 10^9$  (+/- 19%) colony forming units (CFU) per ml on YMA CR average of seven drop plates.

Legumefix:  $8.4 \times 10^9$  (+/- 21%) colony forming units (CFU) per ml on YMA CR average of seven drop plates

These results indicate that all inoculants are considered of excellent quality by both TFRA and international standards.

#### 1.4.3.3 Strain evaluation in Ethiopia

N2Africa-Ethiopia rhizobiology research activities have been undertaken in collaboration with Hawassa University (HwU), Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural at Holeta (EIAR-Holeta) and MBI. The major focus areas were the collection of new strains across agro-ecologies and the evaluation of candidate strains for their symbiotic effectiveness under greenhouse and field conditions, before embarking into inoculant production with them. Strain collection generally emphasized on the potential growing corridors of the target grain legumes in Ethiopia. After collection of root nodules,



rhizobia were isolated from some of the nodule samples. A total of 12 isolates (3 chickpea, 5 faba bean and 4 soyabean) is ready for field evaluation.

The ongoing variety-by-strain trials have revealed that there are variety-specific interactions with elite rhizobia, and the performance of those rhizobia varies across different agro-ecologies. Overall, the widely disseminated legume varieties show good responses to inoculation with the rhizobial strains under evaluation. Generally, the new elite strains performed better than the commercial strains, and sometimes even better than the treatment with inorganic nitrogen fertilizer (positive control). However, their responses vary across different agro-ecological locations (Figure 14A). The overall results of these investigation will contribute substantially to the effort that N2Africa has made to identify multiple candidate elite rhizobia strains for the commercial production of site- and variety-specific inoculants for closing the yield gaps of the target legumes. For instance, one of the best performing common bean elite strains (HB-A-15) was taken from this trial into the dissemination trials. This elite strain performed competently with the existing commercial strain (HB-429) in the last two cropping seasons. Thus, this strain is recommended for commercial production and further scaling up.





Figure 14. Performances of rhizobial strains at different locations in Ethiopia





**Figure 15. Performances of different varieties of common bean in 2016-2017 in multiple locations in Ethiopia**

#### 1.4.3.4 Development of Standard Operating Procedure in Ethiopia

In 2018, N2Africa-Ethiopia was an active player and contributor to the development of the national biofertilizer/ inoculants standard operation procedure (SOP), registration guidelines and working manuals. The development of a national SOP for rhizobiology laboratories was another significant achievement as a result of N2Africa-EIAR-HWU-MBI and COMPRO II collaborations. The Ethiopian Standard Agency published the SOP and referred to it as “ES 3907-1: 2015- Fertilizers-Biofertilizers-Part 1: Rhizobial specification and test method”. N2Africa-Ethiopia was also involved in the development of the Ethiopian Biofertilizer Registration Guidelines, but these guidelines are not yet endorsed by the Ministry of Agriculture. The Federal Ministry of Agriculture plans to incorporate the guidelines under the upcoming modified “Fertilizer Law”.

In addition to these efforts, a manual entitled “Rhizobial Inoculant Development and Management” was developed in collaboration with EIAR and submitted for publication in the first week of December 2018. The manual will be distributed for public and private laboratories working on rhizobia, including higher learning institutes as reference material. Hopefully, this will support efforts towards capacity development on rhizobiology. The absence of a well set-up functional system, well-equipped public and/or private laboratories and lack of enough experts/ technicians remains to be the main concern to ensure effective quality control of inoculants in the different supply chains, from factory to farm gates.

#### 1.4.3.5 Key findings from rhizobiology activities in Tanzania and Ghana in Phase II

A study was commissioned to take stock and analyse the available data collected through project partners during the implementation of rhizobiology activities across the core countries and provide findings and conclusions regarding effectiveness of strains for inoculant production. The initial study analysis however concentrates on Ghana and Tanzania. Nigeria data will be analysed in due course and integrated into the N2Africa final report. On the other hand, Uganda had challenges of drought and the available data from two sites in 2016 is not conclusive. However, this will be followed up in subsequent reporting.



N2Africa Phase I was successful in establishing and testing a massive collection of rhizobia nodulating a range of target legumes including soyabean, groundnut, cowpea, beans and common bean. As a result, several strains of indigenous rhizobia were identified which compare well, and sometimes do better than the recommended ones (including standard commercial strains) used as checks. The use of such high quality rhizobial inoculants can reduce legume yield gaps and maximize profit to small-scale farmers. One of the objectives under Phase II was to investigate if such strains could be readily advanced to inoculant production, and to determine in which areas of sub-Saharan Africa the use of such inoculants can maximize profit to small scale farmers.


The evaluation of strains and the selection of elite strains for common bean, cowpea, groundnut and soyabean was conducted in multi-locational experiments in Ghana and Tanzania. Elite rhizobia evaluated were sourced from different contributors to the N2Africa network, and gathered in a central laboratory at IITA Ibadan.

**Table 11. Strains used on selected legumes for multi-location trials in Tanzania and Ghana**

| Common bean* | Soyabean  | Cowpea    | Groundnut |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Control      | USDA 110  | BR 3262   | IGR 469   |
| USDA 2677    | USDA 532C | BR 3267   | MJR 43C   |
| CIAT 899     | NAK 128   | 2NAG 53e  | NC 92     |
| Ha.Alia      | NAK 84    | 2NAG 9d   | SBG 234   |
| RIZOLIQBEBAN | RACA 6    | 2NAG 91a  | SNN 336   |
|              | RANI 22   | CB756     | SNN 343   |
|              | IRJ 2180A | 2NAG 5261 |           |

\* Tanzania only


The performance of strains varied across sites in both countries. In Tanzania, common bean inoculation with strains CIAT 899, HaAlia, RIZOLIQBEBAN and USDA 2677 resulted in increased grain yields ranging from 184 to 344 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> relative to the un-inoculated control.



**Figure 16. Performances of different varieties of common bean in 2016-2017 in multiple locations in Tanzania**




Cowpea responded to inoculation, with grain yield increases of between 4 to 25% for strains CB756 and 2NAG53e, respectively.



**Figure 17. Grain yield of cowpea grown in Tanzania as affected by inoculation with selected elite rhizobia strains. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean.**

Groundnut, when inoculated, gave yield increases ranging from 34 to 54% in the 2017 growing season for strains SNN336 and SNN343, respectively. Larger increases were obtained in 2018, ranging from 93 to 119% for strains IGB469, SNN336 and SBG234.


Soyabean responded well to inoculation in the 2017 and 2018 growing seasons. Overall, soyabean responded to inoculation with grain yield increases ranging from 16 to 45% for strains NAK128 and RACA6, respectively in 2017 and 46 to 92% for strains RACA6 and Legumefix in 2018.



**Figure 18. Grain yield of soya bean grown in Tanzania as affected by inoculation with selected elite rhizobia strains during the 2017 and 2018 growing seasons**




In Ghana, cowpea did not respond to inoculation in the 2016 growing season. Overall, cowpea inoculation with different elite rhizobia strains showed an increase in grain yields in the 2017 cropping season, with increases ranging from 22 to 51% after inoculation with strains CB756 and BR3262, respectively.



**Figure 19. Effect of cowpea inoculation with selected elite rhizobia strains on grain yields in Ghana during the year 2016 and 2017 growing seasons.**


The cowpea grain yields in 2017 were significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) higher than in 2016 growing season. The best performing strain in 2017 was BR3262 with a grain yield of about 2200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. In 2016, cowpea did not respond to inoculation (Figure 5). Overall cowpea inoculation with different elite rhizobia strains showed an increase in yield ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2017 ranging between 1445 to 2183 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 19).

For soyabean, the highest increase in grain yield was observed by inoculation with strain RANI22 in the 2016 and 2017 growing seasons. In the 2016 season the best performing strains were NAK84, RACA6 and RANI22 resulting in grain increases of 36, 37 and 44%, respectively. Higher responses to inoculation were observed in 2017 with grain yields increases of 70, 75 and 81% after inoculation of soyabean with strains USDA532C, IRJ2180A and RANI22, respectively.



**Figure 20. Grain yield of soyabean grown in Ghana as influenced by inoculation with selected elite rhizobia strains during the 2016/2017 growing seasons.**

Groundnut was cultivated in two seasons in Ghana: 2016 and 2017. In 2016, only pod yield data was available, while grain yields were available for the 2017 cropping season. Generally, pod yields ranged from 2259 kg to 2917 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2016. Pod yield increases of between 5 to 15% were observed after inoculation using strains IGB469 and MJR43. Strain SBG234 was the only one that did not result in any increased in pod yield (figure 21). In 2017, groundnut grain yield increases after inoculation ranging from 8 to 70% with strains SNN343 and IGB469 respectively. Strains MJR493 and IGB469 were the best performing resulting in 65 and 70% increase in grain yield.



**Figure 21. Pod yield of groundnut grown in Ghana as influenced by inoculation with selected elite rhizobia strains in the 2016 cropping season.**

In conclusion, soyabean strain RACA6 was promising as it resulted in high grain yields in both Ghana and Tanzania. Strain SBG234 is a promising strain for groundnut based on grain yield



increase in Tanzania and pod yield in Ghana. Cowpea did not always respond to inoculation, and in instances where it did there was no common strain that worked well for both countries. In some instances, responses were also site-specific. Considering that in all the trials only one legume genotype was screened, multi-locational variety-by-inoculant-strain trials are required to validate the results and select the best rhizobia and legume-variety combinations suited for different agro-ecologies.

## 1.5 Enable learning and assess impacts at scale through strategic M&E

The project commenced its impact assessment in the last quarter of 2018 to investigate if and to what extent the project activities benefited the intended recipients (farmers) and if these benefits can be attributed to the project activities or contributions by the project can be traced to such benefits. The impact assessment focused on the difference between the observed long-term results with the interventions of the project and the long-term results that would have been without the intervention, and this is assessed at farmer level. In addition to the farmer level impact assessment, a more qualitative approach (contribution analysis) is being conducted to ascertain the various contributions by the project and its partners (as means to explain further the quantitative results from the impact assessment). The main areas of focus include improved soyabean varieties in Ghana and inoculants in Ethiopia. Additional areas have been selected in Ghana and Ethiopia and new areas in Tanzania, Uganda, and Nigeria for the contribution analysis. A range of partners and non-partners of the project will be interviewed to find evidence for N2Africa's contribution.

The survey covered selected operational areas of the project across five countries including Ethiopia, Ghana, Borno (Nigeria), Nigeria, Tanzania and Uganda. Within each operational area, the study covered selected action sites, (local government areas or districts as pertained in the different countries). Each country had a sample size of 600 households, both for intervention areas and non-intervention areas. Except in Ethiopia where a larger sample (750) was targeted due to the exigence of the PhD study being conducted in the country. The study employed a multistage stratified random sampling technique to ensure good representation of all areas. Key variables considered in the stratification were the different agro ecological zones and market access potentials; number of villages in action sites (grouped under treated and comparison), households in sampled villages. The following stratification was done; first, the project operational areas were stratified according to agro ecological zones and market access potentials; second, the households were then proportionally divided among the different zones based on intensity of project activities and number of villages present (list of all villages present in an action site were obtained); third, 60 villages for both groups (treated and comparison) were selected for the survey. Fifth, 10 households were randomly selected from the households in each of the selected villages. Except in Ethiopia were 16 households were selected from each village.

Data were collected in all the five countries using structured household questionnaire. The household questionnaire covered key indicators in the results framework and entail: demographics; awareness and knowledge; management practices; cost and sources of inputs; crop yield; access to output markets and revenue; communication and information sources, etc. Legume grain yield were estimated by farmers while field area was both estimated and physically measured directly for selected farms with the help of farm owner. Field area measurement were done with a Global Positioning System (GPS) using the **geotrace** application to physically measure the plot area of the farmers (at least one legume plot was physically measured for each farmer) except where it was impossible to do so (e.g. there was no field measurement in one community in Ghana due to flooding).

The analysis of the survey is currently ongoing, and a report will be made available on the N2Africa website.



## 2 Achievements in relation to project milestones

**Table 12. Progress Key Milestones 2017**

| Milestone  | Indicator   | Cumulative target at grant end | Target 2018 | Achieved 2018 (cumulative)   | % achieved target 2017 |
|--|---|--------------------------------|-------------|--|------------------------|
| <b>Objective 1</b>   |   |                                |             |  |                        |
| 1.3. Partners along the legume input and output value chains cooperate actively towards achieving the overall N2Africa goals.    | Number of partnerships developed and active.  | 32                             | 32          | 43 partnerships for implementation of project activities<br>Cumulatively 267 partnerships since 2015 | over 100%.             |
| 1.4.1. By Q3 of Year 1, an internal and external communication strategy developed  | Communication plans   | 1                              | 1           | 1  | 100%                   |
| 1.5.1. By Q4 of Year 1, country-specific research and dissemination implementation plans formalized, including an exit strategy. | Number of specific research and dissemination plans formalized.   | 5                              | 5           | 6  | 120%                   |
| 1.7.1. By Q4 of Year 1, a research plan, engaging at least five PhD and 10 MSc candidates, developed.                            | Project-wide research plans to engage PhD and MSc students developed and number of PhD and MSc students (men/women) engaged.  | 1                              | 1           | 1  | 100%                   |
| 1.4. By Q4 of Year 5, at least 320 partners trained in N2Africa technologies and approaches.                                     | Number of persons trained (gender- disaggregated data) in N2Africa technologies and approaches and number of N2Africa technologies (by type) in which the persons were trained. ( | 320                            | 320         | ToT: 9,016 (31% women)<br>Step down: 61,401 (36% women)  | Over 100%              |



| Milestone   | Indicator   | Cumulative target at grant end | Target 2018            | Achieved 2018 (cumulative)   | % achieved target 2017                                 |
|---|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Objective 2</b>  |   |                                |                        |  |  |
| 2.2. Dissemination partners attain/surpass the anticipated number of households targeted and continue to engage in legume intensification post-project.   | Number of target households (men/women) reached ( <i>outcome level: these farmers continue to engage in legume intensification activities after participating in dissemination activities</i> ).  | 555,000                        | 555,000                | 660, 198 (45% women)   | over 100%  |
| 2.3. Local agro-dealers marketing fertilizers, seeds, and inoculants are aligned with grassroot producer groups and input wholesalers and manufacturers.  | *Volume of seeds, fertilizers, and inoculants used per targeted producer group per land area,<br>*Volume of seeds, fertilizers, and inoculants sold by agro-dealers.  | 6660;<br>11,100; 56            | 6660;<br>11,100;<br>56 | Seeds (4,136.8t);<br>Fertilizers (6,046.4 t);<br>Inoculants (61.7 t) | Seeds (62%);<br>Fertilizers (54%)<br>Inoculants (100%) |
| 2.3.1. By Q4 of Years 1-4, at least two media events (e.g., radio, newspaper articles, field days, etc.) per country implemented.   | Number of media events implemented.   | 50                             | 50                     | 145  | over 100%  |
| 2.4. A pre-set (see Returns-on-Investment calculations) number of households engaged in the collective marketing and value addition of legume grains and value-added products.                    | Number of households (men/women) engaged in collective marketing, value addition of legumes, and value-added products. Volume of produce sold through collective marketing, volume of value addition products, and types of value added products. | 275,000                        | 275,000                | 176,910 persons with 41% women                                       | 64%  |
| 2.5.1. By Q4 of Years 1–4, inoculants available through public-private partnerships, through importation and/or local production, the latter facilitated by the inoculant production pilot plant. | Number of inoculant outlets in the target areas, volume of inoculants imported and/or produced in the identified outlets.   | 5                              | 5                      | 11<br>Volume imported/produced                                       | Over 100%  |





| Milestone   | Indicator   | Cumulative target at grant end | Target 2018 | Achieved 2018 (cumulative)  | % achieved target 2017 |
|---|---|--------------------------------|-------------|---|------------------------|
| <b>Objective 3</b>  |   |                                |             |   |                        |
| 3.2.2. By Q4 of Years 4–5, at least two businesses led by women established per country.  | Number of businesses established and led by women & number of women involved in the businesses established. | 10                             | 10          | 32<br>10,125  | Over 100%              |
| 3.3. Better knowledge of and access to household-level legume processing tools improve the nutritional status of women and children in at least two target countries. | Number of women using household-level legume processing technologies  | 5,000                          | 5,000       | 13,000  | Over 100%              |
| 3.4. Women use pre- and postharvest labour-saving tools, resulting in higher net profits from legume production and processing.                                       | Number of women using pre- and postharvest labour-saving tools.   | 55,500                         | 55,500      | 48,462  | 87%                    |
| 3.5.1. By Q4 of Year 3, relationships between grain nutritional quality and management/environmental conditions quantified.   | Number of relationship equations quantified   | 5                              | 5           | Relationship equation not yet quantified. A study carried out on effects of N and P on two common bean varieties (Gloria and NUA 45), P alone, or N + P at 20 kg/ha P and 40 kg/ha N in Zimbabwe. Analysis of other crops is being done | In progress            |



| Milestone   | Indicator   | Cumulative target at grant end | Target 2018 | Achieved 2018 (cumulative)  | % achieved target 2017 |
|---|---|--------------------------------|-------------|---|------------------------|
| <b>Objective 4</b>  |   |                                |             |   |                        |
| 4.1. Recommendations for the intensification of legume production result in at least 50% increase in legume productivity                                | Percentage change in legume productivity among target households participating in adaptation trials (early adoption instead of adaptation trials)<br>Number of target households (men/women-headed) with 50% increased productivity through adaptation trials | 275,000                        | 275,000     | 365,850   | Over 100%              |
| 4.1.2. By Q4 of Years 2–4, improved legume production recommendations integrated in the dissemination campaigns   | Number of improved legume production recommendations (based on diagnostic trials) integrated in dissemination campaigns   | 15                             | 15          | 19  | Over 100%              |
| 4.2. Inoculant producers avail improved inoculant formulations for the target legumes resulting in at least 10% increase in legume productivity and BNF | Number of inoculant formulations applied/used by inoculant producers for target legumes in core countries (Productivity will be measured by milestone 4.1)  | 3                              | 3           | 3 (soyabean, beans, and groundnut)  | 100%                   |
| 4.6.2. By Q4 of Year 5, elite strains used for inoculant production for beans groundnut, and/or cowpea  | # new effective and elite rhizobia identified   | 6                              | 6           | 920 candidate strains evaluated for chickpea, common bean, faba bean, and soyabean in Ethiopia, climbing bean in Uganda, common bean in Tanzania, and cowpea in Nigeria | In progress            |



| Milestone  | Indicator   | Cumulative target at grant end | Target 2018 | Achieved 2018 (cumulative)  | % achieved target 2017   |
|--|---|--------------------------------|-------------|---|--|
| 4.8.1. By Q4 of Year 2, standard operating procedures of quality control (storage), product registration, and application of inoculants used by inoculant producers and retailers                    | Number of inoculant producers and retailers (public private suppliers) using standard operating procedures.   | 5                              | 5           | 11  | Over 100%  |
| <b>Objective 5</b>   |   |                                |             |   |  |
| 5.1.1. Throughout the project, a strategic M&E framework provides timely feedback to learning and future planning  | Existence of M&E framework that outlines the types of feedback for planning, and provides timely data   | 1                              | 1           | 1   | 100%   |
| 5.2. Dissemination partners integrate effective and efficient dissemination approaches for legume technologies in their future development initiatives   | Number of dissemination partners integrating effective and efficient dissemination approaches in their programs across target countries (Effectiveness and efficiency of dissemination approaches will be measured by Activity 5.6) | 16                             | 16          | Over 257 partnerships established in the lifespan of the project had various dissemination approaches (both new and old) integrated | Refer to section 1.2.2 for effectiveness of dissemination approaches   |
| 5.5.1. By Q4 of Year 4, the relative importance of G <sub>L</sub> , G <sub>R</sub> , E, and M understood for specific legumes and production environments and integrated in improved recommendations | Number of quantified relationships integrated in improved recommendations. Best-fit recommendations available to all target legumes in each country   | 16                             | 8           |   | Completed. Best-fit technologies available per country and per location, indicating variety, amounts of P-fertilizer and rhizobia inoculant, GAP practices required, etc. refer to section 1.4.3 |
| 5.7.1. By Q4 of Year 4, the sustainability of legume interventions for smallholder farmers evaluated through impact assessment studies   | Project-wide impact assessment conducted with available report indicating level of sustainability of project interventions  | 1                              | 1           |   | The impact assessment of the project has been conducted in 2018 across all core countries (refer to  |



## 3 Lessons learned

### 3.1 Ethiopia

- **Bio-fertilizers recognized as important inputs and included in the extension packages:** As the result of the efforts made by N2Africa and local partners in the last five years, the importance of inoculants in the cultivation of legumes is now well recognized, and bio-fertilizer technology has been taken as a major extension package in Jimma PPP cluster. Another encouraging measure was also taken in the South PPP cluster. Boricha woreda BoA in SNNP region created a position for the “biofertilizer expert” to solely work on the promotion and access of inoculant and legume technologies.
- **The market connections via the N2Africa PPP channel:** Bulking and marketing of grain legumes is done mostly by farmers’ cooperative unions, who are linked to exporters and local processors. These linkages provide a consistent market for farmers and buyers such as AKF, Guts Agro, etc., and other emerging potential buyers via cooperative unions.
- **N2Africa’s improved legume production technologies continue to spill-over beyond project target locations:** While the use of improved seed, application of inoculants and P fertilizer and good agronomy practices are acknowledged to enhance yield in target legumes at the project locations, the spill-over of soyabean production technology exceed all. Pawe is the N2Africa project action site for soyabean in Benishangul-Gumuz region. Currently, the crop is extended and widely cultivated in the western lowlands of Amhara region (including Jawi, Alefa and Quara woredas) and Humera (North western tip of the country). These areas were known to be important sesame production areas. Driven by increasing local and export demands and available market, it seems sesame is being replaced by soyabean production.
- **Best-fit technology packages specific to different location made possible:** Based on information collected (field days and technology evaluation events; diagnostic, demonstration and adaptation trials etc.), specific recommendations (adapted varieties, inoculants, P fertilizers and agronomic practices) were made to target locations. The changes and their reasons and initiators are listed in tables per crop reported in Thuijsman, E. et al., 2017. (Tailoring and adaptation in N2Africa demonstration trials <http://www.n2africa.org/content/tailoring-and-adaptation-n2africa-demonstration-trials>).
- **Targeted soil fertility research needs to continue:** On-farm diagnosis/ demonstration/ adaptation trials demonstrated that the inoculant technology works for most of the smallholders. However, there are farms where the technology fails to show expected benefits, thus calling for specific soil fertility research. Strengthening understanding of soil fertility variability and developing legume-targeted fertilizer blends are important tasks to be taken up.
- **Legume residues are important feed resources in smallholder’s systems:** In the mixed crop-livestock systems in Ethiopia, legume residues are an important feed resource for livestock during the dry months of the year when green fodder is unavailable. While inoculation enhances feed quality, the conservation, utilization and trade-off between feed and other uses (mulching, soil fertility maintenance) are important research areas for effective utilization of crop residues and intensification of smallholder’s systems where grain legumes are prominent features.



### 3.2 Borno State, Nigeria

- Demand uncertainty is a major bottleneck in linking producers with input and output markets
- Provision of a tangible starter pack, soon after training, is critical to business take-off, while access to bank credit is important to growing the business of the youths and can mostly be accessed based on existing business records

### 3.3 Ghana

- Partnership with the private sector can ensure sustainable supply of legume inputs at the end of the project implementation phase. Although N2Africa did not facilitate access to inputs including P fertilizers and inoculants in 2018, the private partners (YARA, Heritage Seed, GreenEf, etc) were able to make these inputs (P fertilizer, seed, inoculants) available to farmers through their own distribution channels.
- Through advocacy interventions by N2Africa and its partners (particularly MoFA), the government of Ghana has integrated the New YARA legume (NYL) fertilizer into its subsidy program for legumes. This advocacy realized a total of about 2,500 tons of the New YARA legume in 2017 and in 2018, YARA continued to sell the NYL fertilizer at unsubsidized price to farmers. There is therefore the need to encourage partners to engage in partnerships for coordinated results and to ensure sustainability. There is the need to align project interventions with the governments' policies and programs to improve delivery.
- Partnerships involving key value chain stakeholders have improved both awareness and access to inputs by farmers over the past three years. New varieties of legumes developed by the NARS hitherto not known to farmers have been evaluated and different varieties have been identified for the different agro-ecological zones. Certified seeds of these improved varieties have been made available to farmers by the private seed companies. Rhizobium inoculants were not known to farmers, yet many institutions now have them available for sale to farmers. About four brands of inoculants have been registered in the country in the last two years by the Plant Protection and Regulatory Services Directorate of the Ministry of Food and Agriculture (PPRSD). These include Nodumax, Legume-Fix, Eco-Rhizo Soya and SARFIX.

### 3.4 Nigeria

- End users of inputs such as NoduMax are aware of its efficacy. However, there are still a number of impediments to the ready retailing of such products by last mile retailers. Retailers of crop protection products are used to credit structures which are not available for NoduMax at the moment. Most retailers require accurate demand quantification from end users to avoid unsold quantities due to the shelf life of the product. The need to have cool storage as communicated to end users is another hindering factor. However, given the continued interest of ISL and other private partners in promoting access to NoduMax at rural level, it remains a critical issue that needs to be addressed.
- The usual challenge of inconsistencies in government policies has also manifested itself in the Anchor Borrowers Program, with far-reaching consequences. One of such is the new requirement for farmers' land to be contiguous to the tune of 250 ha, to be able to participate in the program. Also, even after all requirements are met, significant delays are experienced due to public sector's bureaucratic nature, which has resulted in our having to receive the funding after the farming season. It therefore underscores the need



for development programs/project (like N2Africa) to look for alternative and sustainable mechanisms for leveraging finance for farmers. A possible way might be to create a farming as a business fund from where inputs for farmers can be financed in a way that the funds are recovered from sales of farm outputs to off-takers so that the pool of funds is sustainable. In that fashion, farmers can be supported promptly in the bid to migrate them from subsistence to commercial agriculture.

### 3.5 Tanzania

- Village based agricultural advisors (VBAs) and or community volunteers (CV), if supported, can become an effective conduit to connect farmers and input dealers, thereby achieving an efficient input delivery system. This is because of their closeness and knowledge of farmers in their communities and capability of aggregating input demand at a minimum cost. They are also trusted by farmers, as farmers can easily trace back inputs they sell.
- Improving the availability and affordability of seed will lead to increased seed demand as was observed with high uptake of Quality Declared Seeds produced locally. Different from the general perception that smallholder farmers save their seeds from season to season, they use a mixed bowl of seeds, including seeds they have saved themselves and seeds that they buy from open markets.
- Farmers are ready to use inputs on their legumes only if they have an assured market of the excess produce, which they will attain by using those particular inputs.
- Improved agricultural practices such as spacing, early planting and crop rotation have high potential of uptake by farmers, mainly because of the low costs associated with them.

### 3.6 Uganda

- The ICT – village agent exit strategy enables the scaling of technologies to farming communities in areas where N2Africa had worked till 2017. This has been possible through information sharing through the platform and through village agents, and has attracted other development partners and public organisations including the Uganda Microfinance Centre to provide loans to access inputs.
- There is a clear traceability of farmers engaged with the platform through the profiles being developed.
- Clear demand forecasts of required inputs are getting more organised and aggregated through the village agents, who have direct contacts with farmers and farmer associations.
- Diversification in information services is taking place in the context of farming systems; there are more actors and players in the farming system.
- Interface with the village agents is building trust for aggregation of grain for marketing, as village agents provide a kind of assurance for the follow up to link with markets
- Village agents are enthusiastic about their work because they have found business opportunities that sustain them, such as commissions from sale of aggregated produce from farmers and farmer associations and marketing of inputs from input traders.



## 4 Opportunities

### 4.1 Ethiopia

- The Ethiopian Commodity Exchange (ECX) has added soyabean and chickpea to its trading list. Common bean was included since the establishment of the ECX in 2008. Three of the four N2Africa-Ethiopia target legumes (common bean, chickpea and soyabean) are now ECX commodities. This development followed the increasing demand for grain legumes abroad, a huge market that emerged, notably from China and east Asia countries (see Box 1).

Box 1. China will start allowing soyabean imports from Ethiopia, customs authorities said September 2018, as the world's top importer seeks to reduce its reliance on supplies of the oilseed from the United States amid a trade row with Washington. Soyabean production in Ethiopia has more than tripled in seven year, from 35,000 metric tons in 2011/12 market year to around 120,000 metric tons in 2018/19 market year, in response to growing local demand for cooking oil, soy-based foods, and livestock feed. Future production is expected to continue its upward climb to respond to rising consumer demand.

*Source: Addis Fortune Newspaper, Published on Nov 03,2018 [ Vol 19, No 966]*

- The partnership approach that N2Africa has established has led to the formation of a consultation platform for the key legume value chain actors including smallholders, and promises to offer a self-sustaining solution. Thus, preservation of the institutional network and the partnership as legume value chain platform ensures smallholders' access to scientific outputs in terms of technologies (NARS), input supplies (seed companies, inoculant manufacturers) and output markets (private processing and export companies) and fosters the development of the legume production sector.

### 4.2 Ghana

- Since 2017, increased volumes of soyabean grains have been exported into Turkey and this has caused a rapid increase in the price on the local market which, if continued, will increase the production of soyabean.
- The work of N2Africa and its partners (including MoFA) in the Upper East and Upper West Regions of Ghana served as an opportunity for the implementation of the government's flagship program 'Planting for Food and Jobs' (PFJ). Trained MoFA Staff, including Extension Agents by N2Africa, were used in the implementation of the program activities. To sustain the integration and use of expertise, Agriculture Extension Agents in other districts who were not involved in the implementation of N2Africa need to be trained in N2Africa technologies.

### 4.3 Nigeria and Borno

- The privately financed Anchor Borrowers Program is ongoing in Kaduna with AFEX Nigeria as a pilot, and with plans to expand to other states including Borno during the 2019 season. This is in addition to ISL's continued engagement with critical driving institutions for the CBN-ABP, especially NIRSAL to ensure readiness of farmers for the program. After securing a contiguous 250 ha in Borno for N2Africa farmers, final approval



has been received for 221 farmers in Borno. This serves as opportunity to access both inputs and output markets on a loan basis.

## 4.4 Tanzania

- Renewed demand for soyabean by feed manufacturers following increased tariffs on imported soyabean grain and cake. Feed millers are now sourcing soyabean locally. It is expected that this will increase the production volumes once again and will have an effect on the entire soyabean value chain.
- Registered seed growers have an opportunity to access loans from the District Community Development office for production, packaging and distribution of legume seed within their localities. The District development offices in addition have responsibilities to supervise and guide all registered groups within the district, build capacities of the groups related to business skills, contract farming and help them to develop business plan. This provides an opportunity for availability of seeds at community levels
- Existence of Transforming Industrial through Joint Agriculture Transformation in Tanzania (TIJA) Consortium by AGRA and launching of TAAT common bean compact, and in future soyabean compacts guarantees continued demand of legume seeds and inoculants.
- Existence of the Agriculture Sector Development Programme (ASDP II) which recognized legumes as key crops to diversify and intensify agricultural systems and as one of climate smart agricultural practices.

## 5 Challenges

### 5.1 Tanzania

- There was a lack of market for soyabean, attributed to the government instituting VAT on animal feeds. This led to an increase in prices of animal feeds which consequently reduced the demand. According to animal feed manufacturers, they could not process soyabean purchased in 2016 as there was no demand for animal feeds. However, the Tanzania government has reversed its decision and slowly soyabean demand is on the increase.
- Late onset of the season and poor rain distribution in central Tanzania leading to low yields of both cowpea and groundnut.
- Labour-saving tools appropriate for smallholder farmers are not readily available in the country and those available require large cost outlays beyond the reach of our target farmers.
- Insufficient amounts of foundation seeds to move with quality demand of certified seeds.
- Contaminated foundation seeds from research institutions leads to ASA and seed companies making loss (logging<sup>13</sup> % of many varieties is estimated at above 20%)
- Inoculants: inoculants are imported, no local production, low profit margin may not attract big investors.

---

<sup>13</sup> Sorting out right in the field by pulling out plants in the field that are off types.





- Low capacity (human resources) of ASA to lend inspection services of expanding fields under seed production

## 5.2 Ghana

- The key challenge related to sustainability of the dissemination activities is price volatility of soyabean in the local market. The price of the grain is highly unpredictable. Whenever the price rises, processors tend to import soyabean from outside to flood the market thereby forcing the price downward and discouraging local production by farmers. The current price at the time of harvest hovers around GHs140 (\$30.43) and GHs160 (\$32.65) per 100 kg bag. Huge volumes of soyabean are being shipped to Turkey which has increased the price in the local market. About 3,564 tons of soya has already been purchased in 2018 season by one company for a market in Turkey.



## 6 N2Africa Legacy in Tier 1 Countries: Updates from countries

### Introduction

Though the project funding for implementation ended in 2017 in the Tier 1 countries (DR Congo, Kenya, Malawi, Mozambique, Rwanda and Zimbabwe), extensive discussions, documentation and implementation of exit strategy activities were conducted in 2017 and others integrated into partners existing frameworks.

This section of the report provides update from four of these countries, focusing on key questions below in understanding the legacy that N2Africa has in these countries.

1. *To what extent is there still a (knowledge) network around legumes and nitrogen fixation active in your country?*
2. *To what extent are private sector and/or NGOs still selling/ using / promoting “N2Africa technologies”? Can farmers readily access seeds, inoculants, legume-specific fertilizers?*
3. *Are there any interesting new developments taking place around legumes and nitrogen fixation?*

Below are country specific updates provided by the Country Coordinators who are still in the networks of the project partners and supports legume activities one way or the other in the respective countries.

### 6.1 DR Congo

Farmers continue to seek knowledge by engaging in new and existing networks around legumes and nitrogen fixation due to the high demand for soyabeans in the region and especially that there are large soyabean processing plants located in neighbouring countries Rwanda; Uganda (Plant Mont Meru and Mukwano) who serve as main buyers from farmers. There are also some initiatives with the small processing units (soy flour; biscuits; cake); feed for livestock; fish ponds with the soy constitutes a large part. These farmers are supported by existing NGOs and N2Africa partners working in the legume value chains in the country.

With regards to access and use of the technologies, some USAID-funded organizations are working on the soyabean value chain in the region of East DRC supporting some farmers organizations who worked and collaborated with N2Africa and have applied the technologies before. The challenge however, has remained with access to good quality seeds due to the non-functioning seed system; no functional seed companies; no company is in the production of inoculum and public service is not involved in the production of seeds or inoculum. Also access to fertilizer has remained a challenge because of the high price and high cost of importation.

However, some initiatives have started and would like to continue with the achievements of N2Africa in the DRC. There are CCFBA (Consultative Committee on Nitrogen Biological fixation) with on NGO APD:(Association for the Promotion of Development) working on a project to continue some of the activities of N2Africa with the National Ministry of Agriculture through its specialized service SENAFIC (Service National des Fertilisants et intrants Connexes). The objectives of the initiative are to produce and disseminate organic fertilizers through the outputs of the N2Africa project and the organization has contacted companies that have shown interest already. The Ministry of Agriculture through its specialized service SENAFIC would like to take into account the scientific advances of N2Africa in the field of organic fertilizers on the improvement of carrying strains, strategies and approach to extension delivery of organic fertilizers and to be able to duplicate the results of N2Africa in west of DR Congo because the project had operated only in the East of the DRC.



## 6.2 Kenya

During Phase 2 of N2Africa, the Kenyan team focused upon commercial knowledge pathways with regard to the promotion of BNF technologies. This approach was built upon the availability of legume seeds, inoculants and specially blended fertilizer as input products offered by agro-dealers. Also, the approach of shifting from farmer field days, that requires project support, to customer open houses hosted by local agro-dealers proved successful in terms of more lasting impact. During the N2Africa project, responsibility for agricultural extension services shifted from the national Ministry of Agriculture to the individual counties, and this resulted in lack of organization with regard to their effective participation in N2Africa and other projects. This trend is changing to the better as the farmer associations and agro-dealer networks are now receiving better extension support. Similarly, the processing of legumes has continued at both the cottage industry and agro-industrial scales through the production and distribution of soy milk and flavoured yogurt, instant beverages, blended flours and textured vegetable proteins. Customers recognize these as healthy food products and farmers and marketing mechanisms organized through N2Africa continue to supply raw soybeans.

Agro-dealers across the former N2Africa West Kenya Action Site continue to market improved soybean seed (mostly cv Saga from AgriSeed-SeedCo), BIOFIX legume inoculants, and the Sympal fertilizer blend. Most seed, however, tends to be produced through community-based mechanisms relying upon improved varieties (mostly cv Saga) distributed to farmer groups by N2Africa. The distribution of soybeans tolerant to Asian Rust disease by N2Africa has resulted in lasting impacts. BIOFIX inoculants continue to be produced at the factory built by MEA Fertilizers in Nakuru and distributed to agro-dealers through product representatives. A new, larger 150 g package was added to the BIOFIX product line. Also, a liquid formulation inoculant for soybean is under development. Inoculant quality control testing continues by the nationally-sanctioned MIRCEN and its laboratory that the N2Africa project helped modernize. In many cases, inoculants continue to be displayed by agro-dealers in small glass-fronted refrigerators that were provided as incentives through N2Africa. Unfortunately, the innovative product return policy for unsold BIOFIX crafted by N2Africa was discontinued, so local agro-dealers now assume full risk for marketing these products. MEA Fertilizers continues to produce the Sympal fertilizer blend in 40-ton batches at its blending facility in Nakuru. This blend has achieved an identity alongside other specialized blends for rice, tea, coffee and other commodities, but MEA as a relatively small Kenyan company is finding it increasingly difficult to compete with multi-national fertilizer importers. One positive spin off is that MEA readily agreed to produce and test market a new fertilizer blend intended for root crops designed by IITA scientists based upon the earlier success of the legume blend formulated by N2Africa.

New developments are worthy of note. 1) TechnoServe has initiated a working group devoted to improving soybean marketing in Kenya. Their consultants visited several former N2Africa cooperators, including farmer groups, agro-dealers and small-scale processors, in the formulation of their recommendations. Two events were held in 2018 that brought together soybean producers, input manufacturers, buyers and processors, and N2Africa technologies and partners featured prominently in these discussions. 2) In addition, Technologies for African Agricultural Transformation (TAAT), a Pan-African Program devoted to modernizing agriculture, has included legume inoculants and specialized fertilizer blends within its technology "toolkits". These toolkits assume many forms and the Kenya Quick Win working group leads in translating proven TAAT technologies as combined product offerings through agro-dealer networks. This approach is now being applied as a means to modernize farmer's traditional maize-bean intercropping systems.



### 6.3 Malawi

There is huge networking around legumes and nitrogen fixation in Malawi. Apart from the well-established projects funded by multinational donors (such USAID, GIZ among others), the tobacco industry (being the biggest farming industry in Malawi) started diversifying into legumes and have their farmers access improved legume seed and locally produced inoculant, Nitrofix by AISL (Agro-Input Suppliers Limited).

The private sector led by Agro-Input Suppliers Limited (AISL) is very actively involved in production and selling huge volumes of soyabean and groundnut seed inoculant.

Some initiatives are promoting the use of inoculants through various medium and one notable initiative by Feed the Future (The US Government’s Global Hunger and Food Security Initiative) has been floating adverts in the local newspapers with the caption ‘Use INOCULANT! For increased Legumes Production’. See Box 1. Access to inputs such as seeds and inoculants continue to improve, and farmers can readily access legume seeds through various seed companies and agro-dealer networks spread across the country. The only challenge which some farmers cite is the high cost of the seed. Access to legume-specific fertilizers is also being made possible through one major local fertilizer company, Malawi Fertilizer Company (MFC) which has started blending and distributing legume-specific fertilizers. See Box 2 for the fertilizer composition. The company joins hands with other partners to disseminate this fertilizer and this season, a total of 191 demonstration plots have been established with Farmers Services Unit (a sister unit to Malawi Fertilizer Company) in the central and southern Malawi, Multi Seeds Company (a private legume seed producing company) in six districts of central region of Malawi and CARD’s (Churches Action in Relief and Development) Economic Empowerment Project in Mchinji District.

Other interesting and new developments around legume production in Malawi. Big tobacco buying companies in Malawi are supporting their outgrower farmers to grow legumes (mostly soyabean and groundnuts) as a way of diversifying their agro-enterprise.



Newspaper advert on inoculants



Composition: New legume fertilizer from MFC Malawi



## 6.4 Zimbabwe

The N2Africa project was implemented in Zimbabwe between 2009-2017. The project engaged smallholder farming communities on intensified grain legume integration in their farming systems across eight districts.

SeedCo seed company has continued to produce soyabean and groundnut seed for Zimbabwe and neighbouring countries markets. For soyabean, the model where farmers access seed and rhizobia under ‘one roof’ has reduced transactional costs. Cowpea has been mostly supported by NGOs in marginal rainfall regions as a climate smart intervention to provide a grain legume crop in drought-prone regions. We worked with the Cluster Agricultural Development Services (CADS) for several years. This NGO has continued to mainstream grain legume production with communities on its HIV/AIDS alleviation and rural nutrition programs.

The government of Zimbabwe’s ‘command agriculture’ program, that had over the past 3 years exclusively supported farmers for maize production, has now extended seed and fertilizer inputs support for soyabean production. This has increased demand for soyabean inoculants, thus tapping into N2Africa investments in Zimbabwe. N2Africa made investments on improving inoculants quality and production capacity at the Soil Productivity Research Lab in Marondera. The equipment included refrigeration facilities, microbiological incubator, an autoclave and a modern laminar flow chamber for aseptic transfer of sterilized materials inoculation of microbes. Technicians that received N2Africa expert training have also contributed to the continued production of high-quality inoculants at the factory.

## 6.5 Mozambique

In Mozambique, former N2Africa partners remain engaged in disseminating the N2Africa technologies to farmers mainly through development projects. Technologies that have been promoted by the private sector in Mozambique are improved seed of cowpeas and soybean. Yet, other legume crops such as beans are also being sold by the private sector. The main bottleneck still remains the seed quality especially with respect to poor germination. This area still needs more attention if the country wants to see farmers investing in improved seeds. The use of fertilizers is very limited due to the high costs. Despite having a good harbour and several blending companies, fertilizers prices in Mozambique remain higher than in neighbouring countries such as Zimbabwe, Malawi and Zambia. This combined with the large amount of available land also leads to extensification rather than intensification. The use of inoculants is limited and farmers access them through development projects rather than through the private sector.

A new initiative is the SEMEAR project (USAID funded), implemented by a consortium of four organizations (IITA, ICRISAT, CIAT and IIAM) to fill the gaps and missing links in the legume value chains. Their focus is on increasing the production and supply of breeder, pre-basic, basic, and certified seeds of common beans, cowpea, groundnut, pigeonpea, sesame, and soyabean and to strengthen the national seed systems. Strengthening the links between initiatives such as SEMEAR and private sector is vital for long-term sustainability of these interventions. Another need would be to estimate the real demand for inoculants in Mozambique, particularly for legumes other than soyabean as beans and groundnuts are more profitable. Further feasibility of intensification using fertilizers at prevailing market prices needs to be evaluated, and there remains a need to reinforce the private sector.

## 6.6 Rwanda

In Rwanda, a legume platform is active country-wide, promoting common bean and soyabean crops which are among the priority crops promoted by the Ministry of Agriculture and Animal Resources. Post-harvest initiatives increased around precooked beans, and/or using



soyabean as raw material to feed factories (oil pressing, animal feed, blended flours for infants and pregnant/breastfeeding mothers). Small businesses around soyabean products which were initiated under N2Africa continue. For nitrogen fixation, RAB continues to produce inoculants for beans and soyabean, though the lack of a clear distribution channel to farmers is still a threat in the value chain.

More private companies have invested in the import and distribution of agricultural inputs in the country. YARA, for instance, has warehouses in Kigali where local agro dealers buy fertilizers directly, and sell them in their small shops. New initiatives to blend specific fertilizers are also taking place (e.g. with ENAS). Farmers still have difficulties to access inoculants.

AGRA is providing grants around early generation seeds of four selected crops in Rwanda, including soyabean and beans. IITA in consortium with CIAT and RAB have submitted a proposal on soyabean and beans under this opportunity. Feedback from AGRA is still awaited. In addition, Feed the Future with Cultivating New Frontiers in Agriculture (CNFA) has a new project in Rwanda-Hinga Weze working on beans, among other crops.



## Appendix I – Overview of active partnerships


**Table 13. Active public-private partnerships in 2018.**

| Country                          | N2Africa lead partner   | Type of organization* | Type of partnership**                     | Main areas of support***  |
|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|---|
| Ethiopia                         | Menagesha Biotech Industry PLC (MBI) – AGRA-SSTP                                      | Private Organization  | Grant Agreement                           | Dissemination, Input Supply, Market linkage, Capacity building  |
| Ethiopia/Chewaqa                 | International Fertilizer Development Centre (IFDC)—2SCALE Project                     | NGO                   | Cooperative/Collaboration Agreement       | Dissemination, Input Supply, Market linkage, Capacity building  |
| Ethiopia/Chewaqa                 | Anno Agro Industry Plc.   | Private Organization  | Subcontract under collaborative agreement | Seed supply   |
| Ethiopia/South East              | Bale Green Spice and Development Plc. (BSGD)  | Private Organization  | Cooperative/Collaboration Agreement       | Dissemination, Input Supply, Market linkage, Capacity building  |
| Ethiopia/South East              | Bale Green Spice and Development Plc. (BSGD)  | Private Organization  | Subcontract under collaborative agreement | Capacity building, Input Supply, Market linkages, Dissemination |
| Ethiopia/Chewaqa and South East  | Oromia Agricultural Research Institute (OARI)   | Research Institution  | Subcontract under collaborative agreement | Dissemination   |
| Ethiopia/Central Shoa            | SNV/Agriterra-Cooperatives for Change (C4C)   | NGO                   | Cooperative/Collaboration Agreement       | Dissemination, Input Supply, Market linkage, Capacity building  |
| Ethiopia/Pawe                    | Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR)—Pawe Agricultural Research Centre | Research Institution  | Cooperative/Collaboration Agreement       | Dissemination, Input Supply, Market linkage, Capacity building  |
| Ethiopia / Central Shoa and Pawe | Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR)                                   | Research Institution  | Subcontract under collaborative agreement | Dissemination   |
| Ethiopia/Jimma                   | Facilitator for Change (FC)   | NGO                   | Cooperative/Collaboration Agreement       | Dissemination, Input supply, Market linkage, Capacity building  |
| Ethiopia/Jimma                   | Facilitator for Change (FC)   | NGO                   | Subcontract under collaborative agreement | Dissemination, Input supply, Market linkage, Capacity building  |
| Ethiopia/South                   | Hawassa University (HwU)  | Research Institution  | Cooperative/Collaboration Agreement       | Dissemination, Input supply, Market linkage, Capacity building  |
| Ethiopia/South                   | Hawassa University (HwU)  | Research Institution  | Subcontract under collaborative agreement | Dissemination, Input Supply, Market linkage, Capacity building  |
| Ethiopia/South                   | Soddo Catholic Secretariat (SCS)  | NGO                   | Subcontract under collaborative agreement | Capacity building, Input supply, Market linkages, Dissemination |
| Ethiopia/North                   | Tsehay Multi-Purpose Cooperative Union (Tsehay Union)                                 | Other                 | Cooperative/Collaboration Agreement       | Dissemination, Input Supply, Market linkage, Capacity building  |
| Ethiopia/North                   | Amhara Region Agricultural Research Institute (ARARI)                                 | Research Institution  | Subcontract under collaborative agreement | Capacity building, Input supply, Market linkages, Dissemination |



| Country         | N2Africa lead partner  | Type of organization*       | Type of partnership**                | Main areas of support***  |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Ghana           | Evangelical Presbyterian Development and Relief Agency YENDI (EPDRA-Yendi)   | NGO                         | Subcontract                          | Capacity building, Input supply, Market linkages, Dissemination           |
| Ghana           | Urban Agriculture Network (UrbANET)  | NGO                         | Subcontract                          | Capacity building, Input supply, Market linkages, dissemination           |
| Ghana           | Green-Ef Eco-Business Village Limited (Green-Ef)   | Private Organization        | Cooperative/Collaboration Agreement  | Input supply and ICT information management                               |
| Ghana           | CABI-IITA: Gender and the Legume Alliance: Integrating multi-media communication approaches and input brokerage (GALA) | NGO                         | Cooperative/Collaboration Agreement  | Dissemination, Input supply, Market linkage, Capacity building            |
| Nigeria         | Intrio Synergy Limited (ISL)   | Private Organization        | Subcontract/Cost share               | Dissemination, Input supply, Market linkage, Capacity building            |
| Nigeria (Borno) | The Borno State Agricultural Development Project (BOSADP)  | NGO                         | Subcontract                          | Dissemination, Seed systems, Market linkages                              |
| Nigeria (Borno) | Leventis Foundation  | NGO                         | Subcontract                          | Capacity building—Spray service providers (SSP)                           |
| Nigeria (Borno) | Intrio Synergy Limited (ISL)   | Private Organization        | Subcontract/Cost share               | Dissemination, Input supply, Market linkage, Capacity building            |
| Tanzania        | Nelson Mandela Africa Institute of Science and Technology (NM-AIST)  | Research Institute          | Subcontract                          | Rhizobiology  |
| Tanzania        | Tanzania Fertilizer Regulatory Authorities (TFRA)  | Public Organization         | Cooperative/Collaboration agreement  | Registration of inoculants and provision of import permits (country wide) |
| Tanzania        | Sokoine University of Agriculture (SUA)  | Public Organization         | Cooperative/ Collaboration agreement | Quality Control of rhizobia inoculants ( country wide)                    |
| Tanzania        | Catholic Relief Services (CRS) – Soya ni Pesa Project  | NGO                         | Cooperative/Collaboration agreement  | Dissemination, Input supply, Market linkage, Capacity building            |
| Tanzania        | Agriculture Research Institute -Uyole (ARI-UYOLE)  | Research Institute          | Subcontract                          | Dissemination, diagnostics  |
| Tanzania        | FAIDA MARKET LINK (FAIDA MaLi)   | NGO                         | Subcontract                          | Market linkage, Capacity building   |
| Tanzania        | ARI—SELIAN (ARI—SELIAN)  | Research Institute          | Subcontract                          | Dissemination, Diagnostics  |
| Tanzania        | Building Rural Incomes Through Enterprise (BRiTEN)   | NGO                         | Subcontract                          | Dissemination, Input supply, Market linkage, Capacity building            |
| Tanzania        | AgriSeed Technologies Limited (AgriTech)   | Private (seed) organization | Subcontract                          | Input supply  |





| Country  | N2Africa lead partner  | Type of organization*             | Type of partnership**                | Main areas of support***                                     |
|----------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Tanzania | Guavay Company Limited (GCL)   | Private (fertilizer) organization | Subcontract                          | Input supply   |
| Tanzania | Beula Seed Co. & Consult LTD (BSCC)  | Private (seed) organization       | Subcontract                          | Input supply   |
| Tanzania | G2L Company Limited  | Private organization              | Subcontract                          | Market linkages  |
| Uganda   | National Agricultural Research Laboratories (NARL)   | Research Institute                | Subcontract                          | Diagnostics  |
| Uganda   | National Crops Resources Research Institute (NaCRRI)                                       | Research Institute                | Subcontract                          | Dissemination  |
| Uganda   | Netherlands Development Organization (SNV) - The Uganda Oilseed Subsector Platform (OSSUP) | NGO                               | Cooperative/Collaboration agreement  | Innovation Platform (IP)                                     |
| Uganda   | National Agricultural Research Organization (NARO)   | Research Institution              | Subcontract                          | Groundnuts, Diagnostics, Dissemination, Capacity building    |
| Uganda   | Agricultural Innovation Systems Brokerage Association Limited (AGINSBA)                    | Private Organization              | Subcontract                          | ICT-Platform—Dissemination, Input and output market linkages |
| Uganda   | Simlaw Seeds Company Uganda Ltd  | Private Organization              | Cooperative/ Collaboration agreement | Seed supply  |
| Uganda   | Agency for Sustainable Rural Transformation Limited (AFSRT)                                | Private Organization              | Subcontract                          | Capacity building, Market linkages, Technology dissemination |



## Appendix II – PhD and MSc student overview

Table 14. Overview of PhD students involved in N2Africa Phase II.

| Country         | Name                             | Gender | Research topic  |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------|---|
| DRC             | Bintu Ndusha                     | F      | <i>Working with the output of Phase I</i><br>Started field work April 17  |
| Ethiopia        | Ashenafi Hailu Gunnabo           | M      | Use of crop residues for livestock.   |
| Ethiopia        | Mesfin Dejene Ejigu <sup>1</sup> | M      | Options for improving the yield and nutritive value of maize and grain legume residues for ruminants in East African farming systems (Partially funded by N2Africa)                   |
| Ethiopia        | Tamiru Amanu Abete               | M      | Understanding the role of Public-Private Partnerships in overcoming institutional barriers to technology adoption   |
| Ghana           | Daniel Brain Akakpo              | M      | Use of grain legume residues as livestock feed resource for smallholder farmers in Northern Ghana.  |
| Ghana           | Michael Kermah                   | M      | Exploring opportunities for sustainable intensification of grain legumes towards improving crop productivity, food security and livelihoods of smallholder farmers in northern Ghana. |
| Kenya           | George Mwenda                    | M      | Characterization of nitrogen-fixing bacteria from <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L. in Kenya   |
| Mozambique      | Amaral Machaculeha Chibeba       | M      | Characterization of rhizobia isolated from soyabean in Mozambique and strategies to maximize the contribution of biological nitrogen fixation.  |
| Nigeria         | Aliyu Anchau Abdullahi           | M      | Exploring the genetic diversity of groundnut-nodulating rhizobia in moist and dry savannas in Nigeria for increased symbiotic nitrogen fixation and productivity.                     |
| Nigeria         | Comfort Ojo Tinuade              | F      | Host legume x rhizobium strain interactions in cowpea.  |
| Nigeria         | Tolorunse Kehinde Dele           | M      | Phenotyping and yield stability studies in soyabean ( <i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merrill) under rhizobia inoculation in the savanna region of Nigeria                                    |
| Nigeria         | Adediran Olaotan Abimbola        | F      | Physiological Responses of Cowpea ( <i>Vigna Unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp) Varieties to Rhizobia Inoculation, Nutrient Management and Sowing Dates in Nigeria Southern Guinea Savannah.  |
| Borno State     | Faruk Galadanchi Umar            | M      | Response of Groundnut Varieties to <i>Rhizobia</i> Inoculation in The Sudan And Northern Guinea Savannas of Nigeria.  |
| Borno State     | Binta Ali Zongoma                | F      | Impact Assessment of Improved Cowpea Varieties on Women Farmers in Southern Borno State, Nigeria  |
| Borno State     | Jannah Fatima Bebeley            | F      | Evaluation of the productivity and profitability of high and low input soyabean production systems in northern Nigeria  |
| Rwanda          | Edouard Rurangwa                 | M      | Improving nitrogen fixation in common beans and soyabean in Rwanda.   |
| Tanzania        | Eliakira Kisetu Nassary          | M      | Intensification of maize-bean cropping systems in Northern Tanzania.  |
| The Netherlands | Ilse de Jager                    | F      | Agriculture and nutrition linkage in N2Africa.  |
| The Netherlands | Esther Ronner                    | F      | From Targeting to Tailoring - Baskets of options for legume cultivation among African smallholders  |
| The Netherlands | Wytze Marinus <sup>1</sup>       | M      | Using the NUANCES approach to examine benefits of legumes in farming systems of East Africa   |



---

|                 |                                     |   |   |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Uganda          | Connetie Ayesiga                    | F | Adoption of grain legume technologies among smallholder farmers in Uganda: Role of ICT market intervention and impact |
| Zimbabwe        | Mazvita Chiduwa                     | F | Symbiotic performance of soyabean root nodule bacteria (RNB) recovered from Zimbabwe.                                 |
| Zimbabwe        | Tatenda Kainga                      | F | Rhizobiology  |
| The Netherlands | Eskender Andualem Beza <sup>1</sup> | M | Citizen science and remote sensing for crop yield gap analysis  |

M= male, F= female

<sup>1</sup> PhD candidate having collaborative research with N2Africa



**Table 15. Overview of MSc students involved in N2Africa Phase II.**

| Country  | Name                         | Gender | Research topic  |
|----------|------------------------------|--------|---|
| Ethiopia | Beza Shewangizaw Woldearegay | M      | Response of chickpea ( <i>Cicer aritenum</i> L.) to sulphur and zinc nutrients application and <i>Rhizobium</i> inoculation in north western Ethiopia.  |
| Ethiopia | Negash Teshome               | M      | Influence of potassium fertilization and liming on growth, grain yield, and quality of soyabean ( <i>Glycine max</i> L. (Merrill) on acidic soil in Gobu Sayo district, western Ethiopia.         |
| Ethiopia | Getahun Negash Takele        | M      | Symbiotic and phenotypic characteristics of indigenous rhizobia nodulating faba bean ( <i>Vicia faba</i> L.) growing in some parts of Wello, Northern Ethiopia.                                   |
| Ethiopia | Tadele Ereso                 | M      | Symbiotic effectiveness of rhizobia from chickpea ( <i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) and phenotypic characteristics of faba bean ( <i>Vicia faba</i> L.) nodulating rhizobia.                           |
| Ethiopia | Mesfin Fenta                 | M      | Adoption of improved chickpea technologies in North Gondar zone of Ethiopia: the case of Gondar Zuria district.   |
| Ethiopia | Galmesa Abebe                | M      | Adoption of improved soyabean varieties: the case of Buno Bedele and east Wollega zones of Oromia region, Ethiopia.   |
| Ethiopia | Dagmawit Getachew            | F      | Analysis of Preference for Adoption of Legume Technology Packages: the Case of Chickpea and Common bean Producing Smallholder Farmers in Boricha and Damot Gale Districts, Southern Region.       |
| Ethiopia | Yitbarek Tegegne             | M      | Factors affecting adoption of legume technology and its impact on income of farmers: the case of Sinana and Ginir Woredas of Bale zone.   |
| Ethiopia | Sisay Belete                 | M      | Effects of phosphorus fertilizer and inoculation on yield and nutritive values of grain and haulm of selected grain legumes in mixed crop-livestock production system of Ethiopia.                |
| France   | Ugo Verlingue                | M      | Guiding varietal choice for soyabean in Africa: A comparison of bottom-up and top-down modelling approaches to assess water limited potential yields.   |
| Ghana    | Kennedy Ahlija               | M      | Response of soyabean to rhizobial inoculation and nitrogen management options in the Southern Guinea savannah zone of Ghana.  |
| Ghana    | Wuni Mawiya                  | M      | Effect of genotype and plant population on growth, N-fixation and yield of soyabean in Northern Guinea Savanna zone of Ghana.   |
| Ghana    | Gifty Kumah                  | F      | Effect of genotype and plant population on growth, nitrogen fixation and yield of soyabean ( <i>Glycine max</i> . L. Merrill) in Guinea savanna agro-ecological zone of Ghana.                    |
| Ghana    | Florence Jessicah Kumah      | F      | Influence of P sources and rhizobium inoculation on growth, nodulation, N & P uptake and yield of three soyabean genotypes in Tanchera soil series of the northern Guinea savannah zone of Ghana. |
| Ghana    | Godfrey Wilson               | M      | Symbiotic effectiveness and saprophytic competence of selected indigenous rhizobia isolates for groundnut inoculation in northern Ghana.  |
| Ghana    | Gregory Mensah               | M      | Implementation of N2Africa Project in Ghana: Putting Nitrogen Fixation to work for smallholder farmers in Ghana.  |



| Country | Name                       | Gender | Research topic  |
|---------|----------------------------|--------|---|
| Ghana   | Kwasi Gyan                 | M      | Farmers' willingness to pay for soyabean production inputs in northern Ghana.   |
| Ghana   | Ibrahim Issifu             | M      | Evaluation of liming, inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer on yield components and yield of soyabean ( <i>Glycine max</i> (L.)) Merrill in the Guinea savannah of Ghana.         |
| Ghana   | Abdul Rahaman Karim        | M      | Farmers' practices in soyabean ( <i>Glycine max</i> ) storage and their effects on viability and vigour of seeds.   |
| Ghana   | Robert Tummyagewor Atawura | M      | Adoption of Improved Technologies by Legume Farmers in The Upper West Region of Ghana.  |
| Ghana   | Mats Hoppenbrouwers        | M      | Usage of agricultural technologies for soyabean and groundnut.  |
| Kenya   | Martin Kiagayu Koinange    | M      | Influence of biochar amendment on the effectiveness of elite Kenyan rhizobia nodulating common bean ( <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.).  |
| Kenya   | Wycliffe W. Waswa          | M      | Evaluation of yield potential and management practices affecting soyabean production in western Kenya.  |
| Malawi  | Donald Siyeni              | M      | Effect of rhizobia inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer on nodulation and yield of soyabean ( <i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merrill) in Dedza, Kasungu and Salima districts of Malawi. |
| Nigeria | Ngwu Chuwudi Hillary       | M      | Genotype X Environment Interaction and Stability Analysis for Yield and Its Components In 24 Lines of Soyabean ( <i>Glycine Max</i> ) in Three Agro Ecological Zones of Nigeria.  |
| Nigeria | Muhammed Mustapha Ibrahim  | M      | Optimization of biological nitrogen fixation and yield of groundnut ( <i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.) in a savanna alfisol through fertilizer application and soil amendment.         |
| Nigeria | Musa Muhammed              | M      | Response of Cowpea Varieties to Rhizobium Inoculant and Phosphorous Fertilizer in Sudan Savanna.  |
| Nigeria | Muhammad Halliru           | M      | Determinants of Inputs Demand and Adoption of Grain Legumes and Associated Technologies of N2Africa in Kano State, Nigeria.   |
| Nigeria | Andy Okpoho                | M      | Effects of Tillage, Variety and Starter Nitrogen on Soil Physical Quality, Root Profile, Biological Nitrogen Fixation and Inoculated Soyabean Performance at Minna, Nigeria.      |
| Nigeria | Ekle Angu Sunday           | M      | Effects of inoculation, chemical fertilizers and manure on nutrient uptake and yield of soyabean in savanna zone of Kano State.   |
| Nigeria | Damilola Samuel Abikoye    | M      | Assessment of The N2Africa Project on Empowering Women Involved in Soyabean ( <i>Glycine Max</i> ).   |
| Nigeria | Joy Ekaette                | F      | Response of promiscuous soyabean to rhizobial inoculation in combination with organic and mineral fertilizers in some soils of the Nigerian Guinea savanna.                       |
| Nigeria | Gambo Umar                 | M      | Response of soyabean to rhizobial inoculation and phosphorus application in Nigerian Savanna.   |



| Country               | Name                                    | Gender | Research topic  |
|-----------------------|---|--------|---|
| Nigeria – Borno       | Muhammad Nurudeen Isa                   | M      | Characterization and evaluation of indigenous <i>Rhizobia</i> of cowpea for biological nitrogen fixation and improved crop yield in the Nigerian savanna.   |
| Nigeria – Borno       | Hauwa Mohammed Alkali                   | F      | Analysis of market participation by women soyabean farmers in Hawul Local Government Area of Borno State, Nigeria.  |
| Nigeria – Borno       | Maryam Baba Kyari                       | F      | Analysis of cowpea marketing in Biu Local Government area, Borno State, Nigeria.  |
| Nigeria – Borno       | Muhammad Sheriff Ali                    | M      | Effect of different single superphosphate (SSP) rates and plant spacing on yield of groundnut in Sudan savanna zone of Borno State, Nigeria.  |
| Nigeria – Borno       | Sahbong Lucy Kamsang                    | F      | Gender difference in the adoption and impact of improved soyabean varieties in Southern Borno State, Nigeria.   |
| Tanzania              | Yusuph Namkeleja                        | M      | Isolation, authentication and evaluation of symbiotic effectiveness of elite indigenous rhizobia nodulating <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L. in Hai District, northern Tanzania.  |
| Tanzania              | Fides Temu                              | F      | Dynamics of Common Bean ( <i>Phaseolus Vulgaris</i> L.) Insect Pests with Altitudes, Cropping Seasons and Cropping Patterns in Hai District Tanzania.   |
| Tanzania <sup>2</sup> | Scolastica Gatty <sup>1</sup>           | F      | Effectiveness of Alternative Extension Methods in Raising Knowledge, Stimulating Uptake and Increasing Profitability under Different Improved Farming Practices: A Case Study of Common Bean Farmers in Southern Highlands of Tanzania. |
| Tanzania <sup>2</sup> | Ernesta Gerald Sanga <sup>1</sup>       | M      | Determinants of adoption of improved common bean technologies among small farmers in Southern Highlands in Tanzania: cost-effectiveness of dissemination approaches.  |
| Tanzania <sup>2</sup> | Nimbona Daphrose <sup>1</sup>           | M      | Gender Differences in Reception of Information and Its Effects on The Adoption of Improved Soyabean Technologies in Njombe Region-Tanzania.   |
| Tanzania <sup>2</sup> | Amina Mustapha <sup>1</sup>             | F      | Effectiveness of extension methods for scaling up improved common bean technologies among small-scale farmers in Babati district, Tanzania.   |
| Tanzania <sup>2</sup> | Charles Byalugaba Lugamara <sup>1</sup> | M      | Effectiveness of communication channels and smallholder farmers' adoption of improved legume technologies: a case of Morogoro region, Tanzania.   |
| Tanzania              | Verena Mitschke                         | F      | Inducing the adoption of good agricultural practices by educating Tanzanian smallholder farmers – what works best and at what costs?  |
| Tanzania              | Henry Tamba Nyuma                       | M      | Response of three groundnut ( <i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.) genotypes to calcium and phosphatic fertilizers.  |
| Tanzania              | Zephania Simon                          | M      | Isolation and characterization of nitrogen fixing rhizobia from previously cultivated and uncultivated soils of northern Tanzania.  |
| The Netherlands       | Eva Thuijsman                           | F      | Light and nutrient capture by common bean ( <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) and maize ( <i>Zea mays</i> L.) in the Northern Highlands of Tanzania.  |
| The Netherlands       | Eva Thuijsman                           | F      | Adaptation of improved climbing bean ( <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) technologies in the Ugandan highlands.   |
| The Netherlands       | Kohji Nakasaka                          | M      | Evaluating farmers' decision making on choosing technologies and practices in adaptation trials.  |
| The Netherlands       | Tijmen Kerstens <sup>1</sup>            | M      | Findings on: Environmental impact in Dutch arable farming, experimental data on soyabean yield  |



| Country                    | Name                        | Gender | Research topic  |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---|
|                            |                             |        | potential, the yield gaps of sugarcane and sugar beet, and N2Africa baseline studies.   |
| The Netherlands            | Lisa Piper <sup>1</sup>     | F      | N2Africa Project Review.  |
| The Netherlands            | Laurie van Reemst           | F      | Understanding drivers behind the implementation and adaptation of improved climbing bean ( <i>Phaseolus Vulgaris</i> L.) technologies by smallholder farmers in Kapchorwa district, Eastern Uganda. |
| The Netherlands            | Jan Huskens                 | M      | Climbing bean ( <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) cultivation and its diffusion in Kapchorwa District, Uganda.  |
| The Netherlands            | Nikolaj Meisner Vendelbo    | M      | Effect of cropping system design on severity of biotic stresses in common bean ( <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> ) and maize ( <i>Zea mays</i> ) in Northern Tanzania.                                    |
| The Netherlands            | Dorien Westerik             | F      | Simple farm simulation model of smallholder farms in Ghana.   |
| The Netherlands            | Mats Hoppenbrouwers         | M      | The financial sustainability of concrete technology options for grain legumes: An economic evaluation of input adoption by smallholder farmers in Ghana.  |
| The Netherlands            | Susana Prieto Bravo         | F      | Analysis and revision of the N2Africa focal adaptation survey, a tool for monitoring technology performance and untangling yield variability.   |
| The Netherlands            | Suzanne Roelen <sup>1</sup> | F      | Exploring the current state of ruminant value chains in northern Ghana, and the role of grain legume residues as a livestock feed resource.   |
| The Netherlands            | Dorien Westerik             | F      | A simple farm simulation model of smallholder farms in Ghana.   |
| The Netherlands            | Els van der Spek            | F      | Soyabeans and nitrogen fixation in Uganda.  |
| The Netherlands            | Jua Dai Fleer               | M      | Soyabeans and nitrogen fixation in Uganda.  |
| The Netherlands            | Charlotte Mallet            | F      | Prediction of bush bean ( <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) yield in northern Tanzania based on spectral analysis of soils.   |
| The Netherlands            | Betty Masamba               | F      | Analysing the performance of N2Africa technologies in on-farm adaptation trials across the different crops and countries.   |
| The Netherlands            | Roos Mulder                 | F      | Factors influencing grain legume technology adoption across Sub-Saharan Africa.   |
| The Netherlands            | Bouwiene Zwaan              | F      | Chickpea in Ethiopia  |
| Tanzania / The Netherlands | Hannah Broerse              | F      | Kukua delivery weather data prediction to smallholder farmers and the extent to which local smallholder farmers are willing to use and pay for weather prediction services.                         |
| Tanzania / The Netherlands | Sandra Gonza                | F      | Kukua delivery weather data prediction to smallholder farmers and the extent to which local smallholder farmers are willing to use and pay for weather prediction services.                         |
| Tanzania / The Netherlands | Pepijn Bras                 | M      | Kukua delivery weather data prediction to smallholder farmers and the extent to which local smallholder farmers are willing to use and pay for weather prediction services.                         |
| Tanzania / The Netherlands | Justin Hoek                 | M      | Kukua delivery weather data prediction to smallholder farmers and the extent to which local smallholder farmers are willing to use and pay for weather prediction services.                         |



| Country                    | Name                       | Gender | Research topic  |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------|---|
| Tanzania / The Netherlands | Robin Hooft van Huysduynen | F      | Kukua delivery weather data prediction to smallholder farmers and the extent to which local smallholder farmers are willing to use and pay for weather prediction services.                 |
| Uganda                     | Kennedy Mwesigewa          | M      | Characterizing nutrients limiting soyabean production in central Uganda.  |
| Uganda                     | Eriya B. Kule              | M      | Gender based factors influencing farmer participation in marketing of climbing beans ( <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.) in Kabale district, south western Uganda.                              |
| Uganda                     | Sridhar Bharathwaj         | M      | Adoption constraints with climbing beans in Kashambya subcounty, Uganda.  |
| Uganda                     | Mats Hoppenbrouwers        | M      | Challenges and coping strategies in the soyabean market chain in Uganda.  |
| Zimbabwe                   | Vongai Chekanai            | F      | Response of common bean ( <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> ) to rhizobia inoculation, nitrogen and phosphorus application and residual benefits to maize on smallholder farms in eastern Zimbabwe. |

M= male, F=female

<sup>1</sup> Student having collaborative research or internship with N2Africa





---

## Appendix IV – List of project reports

1. N2Africa Steering Committee Terms of Reference
2. Policy on advanced training grants
3. Rhizobia Strain Isolation and Characterisation Protocol
4. Detailed country-by-country access plan for P and other agro-minerals
5. Workshop Report: Training of Master Trainers on Legume and Inoculant Technologies (Kisumu Hotel, Kisumu, Kenya, 24-28 May 2010)
6. Plans for interaction with the Tropical Legumes II project (TLII) and for seed increase on a country-by-country basis
7. Implementation Plan for collaboration between N2Africa and the Soil Health and Market Access Programs of the Alliance for a Green Revolution in Africa (AGRA) plan
8. General approaches and country specific dissemination plans
9. Selected soyabean, common bean, cowpea, and groundnut varieties with proven high BNF potential and sufficient seed availability in target impact zones of N2Africa Project
10. Project launching and workshop report
11. Advancing technical skills in rhizobiology: training report
12. Characterisation of the impact zones and mandate areas in the N2Africa project
13. Production and use of rhizobial inoculants in Africa
18. Adaptive research in N2Africa impact zones: Principles, guidelines and implemented research campaigns
19. Quality assurance (QA) protocols based on African capacities and international existing standards developed
20. Collection and maintenance of elite rhizobial strains
21. MSc and PhD status report
22. Production of seeds for local distribution by farming communities engaged in the project
23. A report documenting the involvement of women in at least 50% of all farmer-related activities
24. Participatory development of indicators for monitoring and evaluating progress with project activities and their impact
25. Suitable multi-purpose forage and tree legumes for intensive smallholder meat and dairy industries in East and Central Africa N2Africa mandate areas
26. A revised manual for rhizobium methods and standard protocols available on the project website
27. Update on Inoculant production by cooperating laboratories
28. Legume seeds acquired for dissemination in the project impact zones
29. Advanced technical skills in rhizobiology: East and Central African, West African and South African Hub
30. Memoranda of Understanding are formalized with key partners along the legume value chains in the impact zones
31. Existing rhizobiology laboratories upgraded
32. N2Africa Baseline report
33. N2Africa Annual Country reports 2011



34. Facilitating large-scale dissemination of Biological Nitrogen Fixation
35. Dissemination tools produced
36. Linking legume farmers to markets
37. The role of AGRA and other partners in the project defined and co-funding/financing options for scale-up of inoculum (Banks, AGRA, industry) identified
38. Progress towards achieving the vision of success of N2Africa
39. Quantifying the impact of the N2Africa project on Biological Nitrogen Fixation
40. Training agro-dealers in accessing, managing and distributing information on inoculant use
41. Opportunities for N2Africa in Ethiopia
42. N2Africa project progress report month 30
43. Review & Planning meeting Zimbabwe
44. Howard G. Buffett Foundation – N2Africa June 2012 Interim Report
45. Number of extension events organized per season per country
46. N2Africa narrative reports Month 30
47. Background information on agronomy, farming systems and ongoing projects on grain legumes in Uganda
48. Opportunities for N2Africa in Tanzania
49. Background information on agronomy, farming systems and ongoing projects on grain legumes in Ethiopia
50. Special events on the role of legumes in household nutrition and value-added processing
51. Value chain analyses of grain legumes in N2Africa: Kenya, Rwanda, eastern DRC, Ghana, Nigeria, Mozambique, Malawi, and Zimbabwe
52. Background information on agronomy, farming systems and ongoing projects on grain legumes in Tanzania
53. Nutritional benefits of legume consumption at household level in rural sub-Saharan Africa: Literature study
54. N2Africa project progress report month 42
55. Market analysis of inoculant production and use
56. Soyabean, common bean, cowpea, and groundnut varieties with high Biological Nitrogen Fixation potential identified in N2Africa impact zones
57. A N2Africa universal logo representing inoculant quality assurance
58. M&E workstream report
59. Improving legume inoculants and developing strategic alliances for their advancement
60. Rhizobium collection, testing and the identification of candidate elite strains
61. Evaluation of the progress made towards achieving the Vision of Success in N2Africa
62. Policy recommendation related to inoculant regulation and cross-border trade
63. Satellite sites and activities in the impact zones of the N2Africa project
64. Linking communities to legume processing initiatives
65. Special events on the role of legumes in household nutrition and value-added processing
66. Media events in the N2Africa project
67. Launching N2Africa Phase II – Report Uganda



68. Review of conditioning factors and constraints to legume adoption and their management in Phase II of N2Africa
69. Report on the milestones in the Supplementary N2Africa grant
70. N2Africa Phase II Launching in Tanzania
71. N2Africa Phase II 6 months report
72. Involvement of women in at least 50% of all farmer-related activities
73. N2Africa Final Report of the First Phase: 2009-2013
74. Managing factors that affect the adoption of grain legumes in Uganda in the N2Africa project
75. Managing factors that affect the adoption of grain legumes in Ethiopia in the N2Africa project
76. Managing factors that affect the adoption of grain legumes in Tanzania in the N2Africa project
77. N2Africa Action Areas in Ethiopia, Ghana, Nigeria, Tanzania, and Uganda in 2014
78. N2Africa Annual Report Phase II Year 1
79. N2Africa: taking stock and moving forward. Workshop report
80. N2Africa Kenya Country report 2015
81. N2Africa Annual Report 2015
82. Value Chain Analysis of Grain Legumes in Borno State, Nigeria
83. Baseline report Borno State
84. N2Africa Annual Report 2015 DR Congo
85. N2Africa Annual Report 2015 Rwanda
86. N2Africa Annual Report 2015 Malawi
87. Contract Sprayer in Borno State, Nigeria
88. N2Africa Baseline Report II Ethiopia, Tanzania, Uganda, version 2.1
89. N2Africa rhizobial isolates in Kenya
90. N2Africa Early Impact Survey, Rwanda
91. N2Africa Early Impact Survey, Ghana
92. Tracing seed diffusion from introduced legume seeds through N2Africa demonstration trials and seed-input packages
93. The role of legumes in sustainable intensification – priority areas for research in northern Ghana
94. The role of legumes in sustainable intensification – priority areas for research in western Kenya
95. N2Africa Early Impact Survey, Phase I
96. Legumes in sustainable intensification – case study report PROIntensAfrica
97. N2Africa Annual Report 2016
98. OSSOM Launch and Planning Meeting for the west Kenya Long Rains 2017
99. Tailoring and adaptation in N2Africa demonstration trials
100. N2Africa Project DR Congo Exit Strategy
101. N2Africa Project Kenya Exit Strategy
102. N2Africa Project Malawi Exit Strategy
103. N2Africa Project Mozambique Exit Strategy



- 104. N2Africa Project Rwanda Exit Strategy
- 105. N2Africa Project Zimbabwe Exit Strategy
- 106. N2Africa Annual Report 2017
- 107. N2Africa review of policies relating to legume intensification in the N2Africa countries
- 108. Stakeholder Consultations report
- 109. Dissemination survey Tanzania
- 110. Climbing bean x highland banana intercropping in the Ugandan highlands
- 111. N2Africa Annual Report 2018



## Appendix V – Partners involved in the N2Africa project



A2N



Bayero University Kano (BUK)



Cluster Agricultural Development Services



Caritas Rwanda



CATHOLIC RELIEF SERVICES



Diobass



Self-Helping Livelihoods



Eglise Presbyterienne Rwanda



Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research



Federal Cooperative Agency (FCA) Ethiopia



GeAgrofia



GUTS AGRO INDUSTRY PLC



IAR SAMARU



IFDC



Research to Nourish Africa



INTERNATIONAL LIVESTOCK RESEARCH INSTITUTE



IMERA



Omnis Agricultural Research Institute



ISAL



Kwame Nkrumah University of science and Technology



Koudijs AKF



LOWER GURUVE DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION



MENAGESHA BIOTECH



Karlheinz Böhm's Äthiopienhilfe



MIRCEN University of Nairobi MIRCEN



Ministry of Agriculture



MURDOCH UNIVERSITY PERTH WESTERN AUSTRALIA



NASFAM



PAD



R&ISS ZIMBABWE



Resource Projects-Kenya



SARCAF



Sasakawa Global; 2000



Urbanet



SNV



taskscape ASSOCIATES LTD



University of Zimbabwe



Université Catholique de Bukavu



University of Zimbabwe



Urbanet



WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY WAGENINGEN THE NETHERLANDS



wocan Women Organising for Change in Agriculture and NRES



World Vision